

Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2000-2009

Update with a Focus on Asia



Dev Kar and Karly Curcio January 2011



Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2000-2009 Update with a Focus on Asia

Dev Kar and Karly Curcio¹ January 2011

Global Financial Integrity Wishes to Thank The Ford Foundation for Supporting this Project



¹ Dev Kar, formerly a Senior Economist at the International Monetary Fund (IMF), is Lead Economist at Global Financial Integrity (GFI) at the Center for International Policy and Karly Curcio is an Economist at GFI. The authors would like to thank interns, Katarina Lackner and Michael Murphy, for assistance with data research as well as Raymond Baker and other staff at GFI for helpful comments. Any errors that remain are the authors' responsibility.



Our 2008 report, **Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2002 – 2006**, produced the startling conclusion that some US\$1 trillion a year of illegally generated or transferred money is escaping from poorer countries into richer countries.

Updating the earlier report, we are pleased to present here our analysis **Illicit Financial Flows From Developing Countries: 2000 – 2009**. The latest complete year, 2008, shows such outflows rising to some US\$1.26 trillion. Skyrocketing prices for oil, other minerals, and foodstuffs, generated funds which easily escaped abroad. We regard our figures as conservative, since they do not include smuggling, some forms of trade mispricing, and asset swaps.

There was a noticeable change in the composition of these unrecorded flows during 2007 and 2008. Whereas in earlier years trade mispricing accounted for the bulk of such transfers, in the two latest years drainages through balance of payments accounts were higher. Specifically, from 2006 to 2008, trade mispricing grew by 30 percent, but over the same period disappearances from balance of payments accounts grew by 46 percent. This suggests that a growing proportion of hidden transfers is occurring out of government coffers, perhaps consistent with the huge run up in revenues generated in oil producing countries. As world trade recovers, it would not be surprising to see these two channels for illicit flows reverse again, returning trade mispricing to the dominant means of moving unrecorded funds.

Asia continues to produce the largest portion of illicit flows, almost a half-trillion dollars in 2008 alone. Across the nine years from 2000 to 2008, selected cumulative figures are: China – US\$2.2 trillion; Malaysia – US\$291 billion; Philippines – US\$109 billion; and Indonesia and India – both US\$104 billion.

In this report we venture an estimate for 2009, based on incomplete data. We anticipate that the rate of growth of illicit financial outflows will slow to 2.9 percent above the preceding year, yet still amass to a volume of US\$1.3 trillion. We will note in our next report whether this projection was reasonably accurate.

Global Financial Integrity thanks Dev Kar and Karly Curcio for their excellent work in producing this analysis. We are especially pleased to find that our reports—for all developing countries and for individual developing countries—are receiving considerable attention.

Raymond W. Baker Director, Global Financial Integrity

Contents

Abstract
Executive Summary vi
I. Introduction
II. Estimating Illicit Flows: Summary of Methodology 3
(i) Methodology
(ii) Process of Normalization: Generating a Conservative Estimate
(iii) Limitations of the Model
III. Trends in Illicit Outflows from Developing Countries and Regions
IV. Projections for 2009
V. Focus on Asia
VI. Conclusion
References
Appendix

Charts and Tables within Report

Chart 1	Volume of Illicit Financial Flows from All Developing Countries 2000-2008
	(billions of U.S. dollars)
Table 1	Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2000-2009
	(millions of U.S. dollars)
Table 2	Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2000-2009
	(millions of U.S. dollars)
Chart 2	Real Rates of Growth in IFFs by Region 14
Chart 3	Normalized Illicit Flow Regional Shares of Developing World Total: 2000-2008 15
Chart 4	Regional IFFs Broken into CED (Balance of Payments) and GER
	(Trade Mispricing) Shares of Each Regional Total, 2000-2008
Chart 5	Top 20 Countries Cumulative Illicit Flows, 2000-2008 (billions of U.S. dollars) 16
Chart 6	Top 10 Countries (as percent of Developing World total) 17
Table 3	Total Normalized Illicit Financial Flows from the Top 10 Developing Countries
	(billions of U.S. dollars)
Chart 7	Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries, 2000-2009
	(millions of U.S. dollars)
Table 4	Total Normalized Illicit Financial Flows from Top 5 Asian Countries 2000-2008
	(billions of U.S. dollars)
Chart 8	Top Five Asian Countries (as percent of total Asia region)

Abstract

In December 2008, Global Financial Integrity (GFI) published a report entitled *Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2002-2006* (referred to as the 2008 IFF report). The 2010 IFF report is an update of the first with the added value of a focus on Asia. This study analyzes outflows from Asia in somewhat greater depth with particular reference to outflows from the top five Asian exporters of illicit capital. In response to several requests for more up-to-date analysis of illicit flows, the present update also estimates the volume and pattern of illicit flows in 2009 based on macroeconomic projections and assumptions underlying the IMF's latest *World Economic Outlook*. In the process, the 2010 IFF Report seeks to gauge the impact of the current global economic crisis on the volume and pattern of illicit flows from developing countries.

Executive Summary

The present study is an update to the 2008 GFI report *Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2002-2006* which found that developing countries lost US\$859 billion to US\$1.06 trillion in 2006. These figures correspond respectively to a conservative (normalized) and a larger, more robust (non-normalized) estimate. On the same basis, this report finds that illicit outflows have increased to a range of US\$1.26 trillion to US\$1.44 trillion in 2008 and that, on average, developing countries lost between US\$725 billion to US\$810 billion per year over the nine-year period 2000-2008.

Illicit flows increased in current dollar terms by 18.0 percent per annum from US\$369.3 billion at the start of the decade to US\$1.26 trillion in 2008. When adjusted for inflation, the real growth of such outflows was 12.7 percent. Real growth of illicit flows by regions over the nine years is as follows: Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (24.3 percent), developing Europe (23.1 percent), Africa (21.9 percent), Asia (7.85), and Western Hemisphere (5.18 percent). (See Table 2)

Asia accounted for 44.4 percent of total illicit flows from the developing world followed by MENA (17.9 percent), developing Europe (17.8 percent), Western Hemisphere (15.4 percent), and Africa (4.5 percent). (See Table 1). The ten countries with the largest transfer of illicit capital are spread across these regions.

The largest ten countries' cumulative illicit outflows during 2000-2008 in declining order of magnitude are China (\$2.18 trillion), Russia (\$427 billion), Mexico (\$416 billon), Saudi Arabia (\$302 billion), Malaysia (\$291 billion), United Arab Emirates (\$276 billion), Kuwait (\$242 billion), Venezuela (\$157 billion), Qatar (\$138 billion), and Nigeria (\$130 billion) (See Table 4). On average, these ten countries account for 70 percent of the illicit outflows from all developing countries over the period 2000-2008.

There are significant variations in how individual country shares of illicit financial flows move over time. For instance, China continues to be the largest exporter of illicit capital by far. However, China's role diminished considerably with its share of all-developing-world outflows falling from 46 percent in 2000 to 27 percent in 2008. In contrast, Russia, the United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, and Nigeria–all oil exporters–are now becoming more important as sources of illicit capital. (See Table 3)

The methodology for estimating illicit financial flows used in this study is based on the World Bank Residual model (using the change in external debt or CED) adjusted for trade mispricing (using the Gross Excluding Reversals method or GER). Unrecorded capital leakages through the balance of payments (CED component) capture illicit transfers of the proceeds of bribery, theft, kickbacks, and tax evasion. The GER method captures the outflow of unrecorded transfers due to trade mispricing. Apart from differences in the extent to which major exporters of illicit capital drive such flows from developing countries, the methods for the transfer of these funds also vary. For instance, while trade mispricing is the major channel for the transfer of illicit capital from China, the balance of payments (captured by the CED) is the major conduit for the unrecorded transfer of capital from the major exporters of oil such as Kuwait, Nigeria, Qatar, Russia, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, and Venezuela. Mexico is the only oil exporter where trade mispricing is the preferred method of transferring illicit capital abroad while Malaysia is the only country in this group where both channels, CED and GER, are used in roughly comparable portions to transfer such capital.

Trade mispricing accounts for an average of 54.7 percent of cumulative illicit flows from developing countries over the period 2000-2008. The GER share has been falling since 2004 when it was 60.6 percent. Unrecorded leakages through the balance of payments (CED component) have been increasing relative to trade mispricing–on average they accounted for 45.3 percent of cumulative transfers of illicit capital during the nine-year period. (See Table 1)

GFI projects that in 2009, illicit flows from developing countries will grow by just 2.9 percent to US\$1.30 trillion from US\$1.26 trillion the year before (See Table 1). This represents a significant slowdown from the 18.0 percent rate of growth over the period 2000-2008 based on the slope of the logarithmic trend line. This projected slowdown of illicit financial outflows is expected mainly due to a decline in trade mispricing resulting from a slowdown in world trade in the wake of the global financial crisis. The implication is that, ceteris paribus, lower imports and exports of goods generate fewer opportunities to misprice merchandise trade.

Although illicit flows from Asia are projected to increase by a little over 23 percent in 2009, a sharper decline in outflows from developing Europe (27.6 percent) and smaller declines from Africa and MENA (7.5 percent and 2.1 percent respectively) are responsible for the leveling off of illicit outflows from developing countries as a whole. (See Table 1)

Huge outflows of illicit capital from China account for Asia's dominance in illicit transfers. According to the conservative (normalized) estimates, illicit flows from Asia increased from US\$200.1 billion in 2000 to US\$495.1 billion in 2008, a rate of 12.9 percent per annum (See Tables 1 and 3). Over time, the preferred method of making illicit transfers of capital out of Asia seems to be unrecorded flows from trade mispricing (GER) rather than from the balance of payments (CED). This is concomitant with growing Asian trade volumes, particularly those of China.

The five Asian countries with the largest total illegal capital flight during 2000-2008 are: China (\$ 2.18 trillion), Malaysia (\$291 billion), Philippines (\$109 billion), Indonesia (\$104 billion), and India (\$104 billion). On average these five countries account for 96.5 percent of total illicit flows from Asia and 44.9 percent of flows out of all developing countries. These (Asia region compared to total developing world) shares have been declining; the top five Asian countries transferred 36.9 percent of illicit flows from all developing countries in 2008, down from 53.3 percent in 2000.

I. Introduction

- In December 2008, Global Financial Integrity (GFI) published its flagship report Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2002-2006, the first such report on the subject covering all developing countries and regions of the world (henceforth the 2008 IFF Report). The terminology "illicit financial flows" rather than "illegal capital flight" has since been used in official documents by international organizations and governments around the world to bring attention to the serious issue of illicit flows which heighten poverty, cancel investments, and thwart economic development.
- 2. GFI's 2008 IFF Report provides an annual assessment of the overall volume of illicit flows from developing countries together with estimates of outflows by country and geographic region, ranking countries by the magnitude of illicit outflows. Subsequent updates to that report including this one will focus on major shifts in regional exports of illicit capital as well as significant changes in country rankings in the context of macroeconomic developments, changes in governance and other factors. In doing so, the annual IFF Report will provide analysis of the issues and trends underlying illicit flows from developing countries and regions, thereby filling an existing gap in information for policymakers, academics, and international organizations concerned with external aid and its effectiveness.

II. Estimating Illicit Flows: Summary of Methodology

- 3. This section provides a summary of the methodology used to estimate illicit financial flows from developing countries referencing earlier GFI publications.² Illicit flows involve capital that is illegally earned, transferred, or utilized and covers all unrecorded private financial outflows that drive the accumulation of foreign assets by residents in contravention of applicable capital controls and regulatory frameworks. Hence, illicit flows may involve capital earned through legitimate means such as the profits of a legitimate business. It is the transfer abroad of that profit in violation of applicable laws (such as non-payment of applicable corporate taxes or breaking of exchange control regulations) that makes the outflows illicit.
- 4. GFI's original 2008 IFF Report and subsequent updates fill an important gap in the global monitoring of illicit flows, which provide fodder for the world's shadow financial system. The gap that existed prior to the GFI reports mainly resulted from outdated studies and estimates of illicit financial flows from developing countries and regions. For instance, a study carried out at the World Bank's International Economics Department (cited by Kant, 1996), found that in 1992, "capital flight" from all developing countries amounted to about US\$377 billion (using the Dooley method).
- 5. It would be difficult to compare the World Bank's 1992 estimates with those found in the 2008 IFF Report for two reasons. First, the extrapolation would merely convert the 1992 estimates to current dollars and could not take account of the growth of world trade and economy as well as increasing globalization—all of which may well have driven actual capital flight much higher. Second, the World Bank's sample of developing countries is smaller than the IMF definition used in the 2008 IFF Report. The only other recent study of illicit flows from developing countries, based on a survey of key officials in major businesses, government regulatory agencies, and international organizations, was carried out by Baker (2005). The extensive survey results indicated that cross-border illicit financial flows from developing countries ranged between US\$539 to US\$778 billion in 2005. Using completely different methodologies, these two independent studies estimated that total illicit flows from developing countries and performent studies estimated that total illicit flows from developing countries.

² For a more detailed explanation see, *Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2002-2006*, Dev Kar and Devon Cartwright-Smith, Global Financial Integrity, Washington DC, December, 2008, or Dev Kar, *The Drivers and Dynamics of Illicit Financial Flows from India: 1948-2008*, Global Financial Integrity, Washington DC, December 2010.

(i) Methodology

6. The 2008 IFF Report used two well-established economic models to estimate such outflows. The World Bank Residual model has been widely used by economists to measure unrecorded flows. The model is intuitively appealing—source of funds exceeding recorded use of funds reflect unrecorded outflows. Source of funds includes increases in net external indebtedness of the public sector and the net flow of foreign direct investment. Use of funds includes financing a current account deficit and additions to reserves. In this broad macroeconomic framework, illicit outflows (inflows) exist when the source of funds exceeds (falls short of) the uses of funds. A variant of this model uses the net debt flows instead of changes in the country's stock of external debt. We use the change in external debt (CED) rather than net debt flows because of the wider availability of the series for most developing countries. Thus:

\leftarrow Source of Funds \rightarrow	Minus	← Use of Funds →
κ = [Δ External Debt + FDI (net)]	_	[CA Balance + Δ Reserves]

7. The second model estimates trade mispricing which has been long recognized as a major conduit for capital flight. The underlying rationale is that residents can acquire foreign assets illicitly by overinvoicing imports and underinvoicing exports. In order to capture such illegal transactions, a developing country's exports to the world (valued free-on-board, or exports f.o.b. in U.S. dollars) are compared to what the world reports as having imported from that country, after adjusting for the cost of transportation and insurance. Similarly, a country's imports from the world after adjusting for freight and insurance costs are compared to what the world reports as having exported to that country. In transferring money abroad, the importer declares a higher import value to the customs department than the value of goods recorded by the exporting partner country. Similarly, an exporter would understate the value of goods actually exported (in relation to the imports recorded in the importing partner country) and keep the balance of funds abroad. Therefore, discrepancies in partner country trade data implying overinvoicing of imports and/or underinvoicing of exports indicate the transfer of illicit capital abroad. The world figures for exports to and imports from a particular country are derived based on partner-country trade data reported to the IMF by its member countries for publication in its Direction of Trade Statistics (DOTS).

8. Note that comparisons based on bilateral trade data may well indicate export overstatement and/or import understatement. That is, the discrepancies could imply illicit inflows. While economists have tended to net out illicit inflows from outflows, GFI's estimates of trade mispricing are based on the gross excluding reversals (GER) method according to which only periods with export underinvoicing and import overinvoicing are considered to be illicit outflows. Estimates indicating export overinvoicing and import underinvoicing are

set to zero. The rationale for rejecting the Traditional method (of netting out illicit inflows from outflows) is discussed in detail in the 2010 GFI report *The Drivers and Dynamics of Illicit Financial Flows from India: 1948-2008.*

- 9. We now present the case against the Traditional method. First, neither the World Bank Residual model nor the adjustment for trade mispricing can capture genuine reversals of capital flight as both provide estimates of unrecorded flows only. If inflows are also unrecorded, they are not likely to be licit.
- 10. Second, if illicit flows are being repatriated as a genuine return of flight capital, they are more likely to be reflected in recorded FDI or recorded portfolio capital. An investor would not smuggle in capital from abroad if that capital, in fact, represents a genuine return of flight capital. Investors would like to take advantage of the government's special tax holidays and exemptions for investing in certain sectors, or gain access to concessional financing, etc. They can only take advantage of the inflows if they are recorded in official balance of payments statistics. So while outward transfers of illicit capital could come back to a country through a process known as "round tripping", as the Indian and Chinese experience shows, these inflows would not be captured by the Traditional models and methods used by economists. Instead, round tripping would show up as an uptick in *recorded* FDI. While intuitively it may make sense to net out the return of flight capital from outflows, it would be practically impossible to implement because we cannot apportion recorded aggregate inflows between new investments and the return of flight capital.
- 11. Third, because the inflows that are indicated by models of illicit flows are unrecorded, they cannot be taxed or utilized for economic development. Often, these so-called inflows are themselves driven by illicit activities such as smuggling to evade import duties or value-added tax (VAT). Hence, illicit flows are harmful in *both* directions—outflows represent a near-permanent loss of scarce capital while inflows stimulate growth of the underground economy. So it is erroneous to imply that illicit inflows represent a return of flight capital such that a subsequent gain in capital offsets the original loss.
- 12. Finally, the recent Euro zone crisis raises a number of questions on how illicit flows are estimated using economic models. Estimates of capital flight according to the Traditional method (World Bank Residual model adjusted for trade misinvoicing and netting out inflows from outflows) indicate that Greece and other "Club Med" countries have received huge illicit *inflows* running into billions of dollars. Yet, the governments could not tap these so-called inflows to stave off the financial crises they were facing. While there is nothing new about the flight of capital from countries that are politically unstable, poorly governed or badly managed, the Traditional method appears to be quixotic in treating illicit inflows as if they benefit the country.

(ii) Process of Normalization: Generating a Conservative Estimate

- 13. As both the CED and the GER models yield estimates of illicit inflows as well as outflows, the GFI study uses two conditional filters in order to capture likely cases of illicit financial outflows. This process of filtering, or normalization, yields a conservative estimate of illicit outflows from a country, while estimates that are not subjected to the filters provide the robust end of the range of possible values. The first filter excludes countries with the wrong signs (i.e., illicit inflows reflected as negative numbers) in a majority of the nine-year period. So if model estimates indicate outflows of illicit capital from a country in just four years during 2000-2008, that country is identified as a less-likely exporter of illicit capital, and all years are dropped to zero. Once the first filter accepts a country as a likely exporter of illicit capital, the second filter subjects estimates to a threshold test (illicit outflows must be greater than or equal to 10 percent of that country's exports valued free on board or f.o.b. for that year) in order to rule out spurious data issues.
- 14. Normalization of estimates must be weighed against the fact that even the best models rely on official statistics which do not capture illicit transfers of capital occurring through smuggling, same-invoice faking, and hawala-style swap transactions to name a few. Under the circumstances, normalization of illicit financial flow estimates using a restrictive two-stage filtration process may further compound the downward bias in estimates that is inherent in the use of stylized models presented here. Nevertheless, the paper includes the conservative (normalized) range of illicit flow estimates for purposes of comparison although the truth may lie much closer to the upper (non-normalized) end of the range.

(iii) Limitations of the Model

15. It is important to bear in mind that economic models cannot capture all channels through which illicit capital may leave a country. For example, "investors" can engage in "hawala-style" swap arrangements that are impossible to trace using official statistics. Such arrangements can be used to illegally send money out of the country. In a hawala transaction, a resident of developing country (X) asks a foreign contact (Y) to deposit U.S. dollars in a foreign bank against the payment of local currency to Y's local contact or deposit an agreed-upon amount of local currency in a bank account in X. The cross-border smuggling of goods is another important channel through which capital from a country can be transferred illegally without such outflows ever being captured in official trade statistics. Smuggled goods, of course, are not recorded by the customs of the "exporting" country from which the goods are being smuggled nor in the importing country where the goods end up.

16. Illicit outflows are also generated through a lack of governance and political instability.

Corruption often involves government officials ignoring their responsibilities or acting in violation of them for some personal, material gain. Thus, corruption also involves bribe-taking, specifically whereby government officials and others (including those in the private sector) are bribed to encourage or facilitate their action to arrive at a speedier or more favorable outcome to the agent or individual offering the bribe. These factors, along with "grassroots corruption" in the private sector (involving individuals, private households, and enterprises) drive the extensive corruption that can permeate in the society. Grassroots corruption fuels growth of the underground economy, from which the government is unable to raise taxes. Finally, transactions in black markets are seldom recorded and are carried out at prices that deviate sharply from the "arm's length" prices prevailing in free markets. As the revenue generated from such commercial, corrupt, and criminal activities are seldom reflected in official statistics, stylized models using official data are likely to seriously underestimate the magnitude of illicit capital leaving the country in a clandestine manner.

17. There are also limitations of the trade mispricing model to capture illicit outflows.

After all, not all mispriced trade results in a difference between export and import values. Where the mispricing occurs within the same invoice due to a previous agreement between buyer and seller, no difference between export and import values occurs on reported customs documents. This is the case in much of abusive transfer pricing by multinational corporations, which vary invoices as needed to shift profits and capital across borders. In fact, transactions that are completely faked without any underlying reality have become common and are especially difficult to estimate. Asset swaps, yet another conduit for illicit flows, which are also difficult to estimate with confidence, have become common with Russian entrepreneurs, Latin American businesspeople, and Chinese state-owned enterprises. In fact, such swaps are increasingly used to shift assets out of developing countries and into Western economies.

III. Trends in Illicit Outflows from Developing Countries and Regions

18. It should be noted that because underlying Balance of Payments (BoP) data and Direction of Trade Statistics (DOTS) (largely the 2006 data) were revised for many developing countries, estimates of illicit outflows shown in this report may differ significantly for some countries from those published in the 2008 IFF Report. In fact, we notice that certain large countries tend to make revisions to key macroeconomic data for the latest year which then lead to sharp revisions to the most current IFF estimates. Users are therefore alerted that estimates of illicit outflows from developing countries and regions for the most recent period may be subject to substantial revisions in subsequent IFF Reports as countries revise the underlying data reported to the IMF. We now discuss the major developments in the overall volume and distribution of gross illicit flows from developing countries. As estimates of normalized and non-normalized illicit flows do not differ significantly, the analysis of regional trends is mostly confined to the former, more conservative method.

19. On average, developing countries lost between US\$725 billion - US\$810 billion per annum over the 2000 to 2008 period (See Table 1 as well as Appendix Table 1).

The lower figure corresponds to the normalized or conservative end of the range while the higher figure corresponds to the more robust or non-normalized end as discussed in Section II (ii). In current dollar, or nominal, terms, illicit flows increased by at least 18.0 percent per annum from US\$369.3 billion at the start of the decade to US\$1.26 trillion in 2008 (Table 1). The only year to buck the upward trend was 2002 when illicit outflows declined by 5.9 percent due to the dampening impact on economic activity of the terrorist attacks on the United States in September 2001. It is clear that the process of normalization, which operates by filtering out countries according to the two criteria discussed above, does not reduce illicit outflows significantly (see Chart 1).



Chart 1. Volume of Illicit Financial Flows from All Developing Countries 2000-2008 (billions of U.S. dollars)

Table 1. Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2000-2009 1/ Normalized and in Current Dollars (millions of U.S. dollars)

CED (Change in External Debt, Balance of Payments component)										
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005				
Africa	8,526.89	4,248.22	11,253.31	22,410.78	22,610.11	21,729.51				
Asia	52,448.58	58,229.55	6,292.70	14,101.48	4,310.12	37,571.06				
Europe	31,094.99	38,970.08	51,602.08	87,250.98	104,319.56	86,825.18				
MENA	44,536.85	34,644.15	29,639.19	100,305.62	111,921.56	143,509.58				
Western Hemisphere	24,331.45	33,229.37	43,324.84	53,585.71	33,027.90	35,853.79				
All Developing Countries	160,938.76	169,321.37	142,112.11	277,654.56	276,189.23	325,489.13				

GER (Gross Excluding Reversals, Trade Mispricing component)

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	
Africa	2,317.42	6,332.70	3,257.51	3,376.20	10,309.60	10,693.43	
Asia	147,671.43	170,063.21	183,568.45	236,129.28	325,568.00	365,657.43	
Europe	4,348.01	11,917.45	7,271.01	8,530.67	16,272.73	6,843.31	
MENA	2,785.29	2,843.96	2,609.91	2,624.64	16,397.09	7,974.77	
Western Hemisphere	51,234.89	50,419.22	47,937.34	50,500.43	55,793.00	66,179.97	
All Developing Countries	208,357.04	241,576.54	244,644.23	301,161.23	424,340.42	457,348.91	

Total CED + GER

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	
Africa	10,844.31	10,580.92	14,510.83	25,786.98	32,919.71	32,422.94	
Asia	200,120.01	228,292.76	189,861.15	250,230.77	329,878.11	403,228.49	
Europe	35,443.00	50,887.53	58,873.10	95,781.64	120,592.29	93,668.50	
MENA	47,322.14	37,488.11	32,249.10	102,930.26	128,318.65	151,484.35	
Western Hemisphere	75,566.34	83,648.59	91,262.18	104,086.14	88,820.89	102,033.76	
All Developing Countries	369,295.80	410,897.91	386,756.35	578,815.79	700,529.65	782,838.05	
CED Percent of Total	43.6	41.2	36.7	48.0	39.4	41.6	
GER Percent of Total	56.4	58.8	63.3	52.0	60.6	58.4	

2006	2007	2008	Proj. 2009	Share of Region in Total 2/	Logarithmic Growth 2000-2008	Percent Change 2008-2009
19,417.39	42,219.77	38,909.20	40,220.34	6.2%	26.33	3.37
31,948.74	27,825.02	49,288.40	163,970.14	9.2%	2.99	232.67
136,458.30	242,142.88	287,259.03	206,113.94	34.6%	31.24	-28.25
219,639.62	187,986.20	239,956.18	232,723.12	36.1%	30.94	-3.01
48,696.54	106,362.27	51,752.32	88,686.82	14.0%	11.15	71.37
456,160.60	606,536.14	667,165.13	731,714.37	100.0%	22.16	9.68

2006	2007	2008	Proj. 2009	Share of Region in Total 2/	Logarithmic Growth 2000-2008	Percent Change 2008-2009
17,886.61	23,236.05	24,876.49	18,767.83	3.0%	34.88	-24.56
346,223.57	391,472.67	445,820.86	447,008.20	75.8%	15.46	0.27
15,990.68	8,975.68	16,164.65	13,458.67	2.8%	10.07	-16.74
8,097.68	5,688.26	7,534.50	9,547.75	1.6%	17.03	26.72
70,325.73	81,374.46	102,780.90	80,486.36	16.7%	9.16	-21.69
458,524.27	510,747.13	597,177.40	569,268.81	100.0%	14.51	-4.67

2006	2007	2008	Proj. 2009	Share of Region in Total 2/	Logarithmic Growth 2000-2008	Percent Change 2008-2009
37,304.00	65,455.82	63,785.69	58,988.17	4.5%	27.7	-7.52
378,172.31	419,297.70	495,109.26	610,978.34	44.4%	12.94	23.40
152,448.98	251,118.56	303,423.68	219,572.62	17.8%	28.96	-27.63
227,737.30	193,674.46	247,490.68	242,270.88	17.9%	30.21	-2.11
119,022.27	187,736.73	154,533.22	169,173.18	15.4%	10.15	9.47
914,684.86	1,117,283.26	1,264,342.54	1,300,983.18	100.0%	18.03	2.90
49.9	54.3	52.8	56.2	Ave. CED % (2000-2008)		45.3
50.1	45.7	47.2	43.8	Ave.	GER % (2000-2008)	54.7

1/ GFI Staff estimates for 2009 are based on underlying macroeconomic projections and assumptions in the IMF's 2010 World Economic Outlook.

2/ Based on cumulative outflows from the region in total outflows from developing countries over the period 2000-2008.

Table 2. Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2000-2009 1/Normalized and in Constant Dollars (millions of U.S. dollars, base 2005=100)

CED (Change in External Debt, Balance of Payments component)											
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005					
Africa	101.12	49.83	135.09	255.38	242.65	217.30					
Asia	622.00	683.00	75.54	160.69	46.26	375.71					
Europe	368.76	457.10	619.46	994.27	1,119.54	868.25					
MENA	528.17	406.36	355.80	1,143.03	1,201.12	1,435.10					
Western Hemisphere	288.55	389.76	520.09	610.64	354.45	358.54					
All Developing Countries	1,908.60	1,986.06	1,705.99	3,164.01	2,964.01	3,254.89					

GER (Gross Excluding Reversals, Trade Mispricing component)

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Africa	27.48	74.28	39.10	38.47	110.64	106.93
Asia	1,751.26	1,994.76	2,203.65	2,690.81	3,493.93	3,656.57
Europe	51.56	139.79	87.28	97.21	174.64	68.43
MENA	33.03	33.36	31.33	29.91	175.97	79.75
Western Hemisphere	607.60	591.39	575.46	575.48	598.76	661.80
All Developing Countries	2,470.94	2,833.58	2,936.84	3,431.88	4,553.94	4,573.49

Total CED + GER

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Africa	128.60	124.11	174.20	293.86	353.29	324.23
Asia	2,373.26	2,677.76	2,279.19	2,851.50	3,540.19	4,032.28
Europe	420.32	596.89	706.74	1,091.48	1,294.17	936.68
MENA	561.20	439.72	387.13	1,172.94	1,377.09	1,514.84
Western Hemisphere	896.15	981.16	1,095.56	1,186.11	953.21	1,020.34
All Developing Countries	4,379.54	4,819.63	4,642.82	6,595.89	7,517.95	7,828.38
CED Percent of Total	43.6	41.2	36.7	48.0	39.4	41.6
GER Percent of Total	56.4	58.8	63.3	52.0	60.6	58.4

2006	2007	2008	Proj. 2009	Share of Region in Total 2/	Logarithmic Growth 2000-2008	Percent Change 2008-2009
185.51	384.89	323.03	366.13	6.2%	20.63	13.34
305.23	253.66	409.20	1,492.63	9.6%	-1.66	264.77
1,303.70	2,207.44	2,384.84	1,876.27	33.9%	25.32	-21.33
2,098.40	1,713.73	1,992.13	2,118.50	35.8%	25.03	6.34
465.24	969.63	429.65	807.32	14.4%	6.13	87.90
4,358.08	5,529.35	5,538.85	6,660.85	100.0%	16.64	20.26

2006	2007	2008	Proj. 2009	Share of Region in Total 2/	Logarithmic Growth 2000-2008	Percent Change 2008-2009
170.89	211.83	206.53	170.84	2.8%	28.79	-17.28
3,307.76	3,568.77	3,701.23	4,069.15	75.8%	10.25	9.94
152.77	81.82	134.20	122.52	2.8%	5.11	-8.71
77.36	51.86	62.55	86.91	1.7%	11.75	38.95
671.88	741.83	853.29	732.67	16.9%	4.23	-14.14
4,380.67	4,656.11	4,957.80	5,182.10	100.0%	9.35	4.52

2006	2007	2008	Proj. 2009	Share of Region in Total 2/	Logarithmic Growth 2000-2008	Percent Change 2008-2009
356.40	596.71	529.55	536.97	4.4%	21.94	1.40
3,613.00	3,822.43	4,110.43	5,561.78	44.9%	7.85	35.31
1,456.47	2,289.26	2,519.04	1,998.79	17.3%	23.14	-20.65
2,175.76	1,765.59	2,054.68	2,205.41	17.6%	24.34	7.34
1,137.12	1,711.46	1,282.94	1,540.00	15.7%	5.18	20.04
8,738.75	10,185.45	10,496.65	11,842.95	100.0%	12.71	12.83
49.9	54.3	52.8	56.2	Ave.	CED % (2000-2008)	45.3
50.1	45.7	47.2	43.8	Ave.	GER % (2000-2008)	54.7

1/ Current dollar estimates are deflated by the U.S. Producer Price Index (IFS line 11163...ZF) base 2005.

2/ Based on cumulative outflows from the region in total outflows from developing countries over the period 2000-2008.

20. In constant, or real dollar terms (i.e., adjusting for inflation), illicit outflows from developing countries grew by at least 12.7 percent over the period 2000-2008, with outflows from MENA (24.3 percent) growing faster than from developing Europe (23.1 percent), Africa (21.9 percent), or other regions (See Chart 2). The rapid growth in illicit flows from MENA was mainly driven by the oil exporting countries in that region, while Russia, Poland, Kazakhstan, and Ukraine led the growth in outflows from developing Europe. Over this period, illicit transfers from the balance of payments grew faster in real terms (16.6 percent per annum on average) than through trade mispricing (9.4 percent per annum).



Chart 2. Real Rates of Growth of IFFs by Region 1/

1/ Real rates of growth are calculated as the slope of the logarithmic trend over the observed period 2000-2008.

21. On this basis, and as noted in the 2008 IFF Report, Asia continues to dominate illicit flows from developing countries—the region accounted for 44.4 percent of all such flows from the developing world during this period (Chart 3). Again, the huge outflows of illicit capital from China account for Asia's dominance in such flows. This is followed by a clustering of regional shares in cumulative illicit outflows from developing countries with the MENA region at 17.9 percent, developing Europe at 17.8 percent, and Western Hemisphere at 15.4 percent.



Chart 3. Normalized Illicit Flows 2000-2008; Regional Shares of Developing World Total 1/

1/ Based on cumulative outflows from the region in total outflows from developing countries over the period 2000-2008.

22. While trade mispricing accounts for an annual average of 54.7 percent of the cumulative illicit flows from developing countries over the period 2000-2008, this share has been falling since 2004 when the share was 60.6 percent (Chart 4 and Table 1).

Relative to 2006, the role of trade mispricing as a conduit for illicit flows declined significantly in 2007-2008 mainly as a result of the decline in trade volumes following the global economic crisis. Leakages, or missing unrecorded money, through the balance of payments as a result of the illicit transfer of the proceeds of bribery, theft, kickbacks, and tax evasion has been increasing relative to trade mispricing. On average they accounted for 45.3 percent of cumulative transfers of illicit capital during this nine-year period.



Chart 4. Regional Illicit Flows 2000-2008; Shares Related to CED and GER Components (average shares over 9 years)

Note: See Appendix Table 12 for complete calculations

- 23. In real terms, illicit outflows through trade mispricing grew faster in the case of Africa (28.8 percent per annum) than from anywhere else easily outpacing corresponding outflows from Asia (10.3 percent), Europe (5.1 percent), and other regions (See Table 2). These relative ranking of regions (in the pace with which they export illicit capital through trade mispricing) remains intact in current dollar terms. The faster pace of illicit outflows from Africa through trade mispricing can perhaps be attributed to weaker customs monitoring and enforcement regimes. Given that customs revenues are an important source of government tax revenues in Africa, the faster pace of trade mispricing calls for strengthening the role of customs in African countries to curtail the mispricing of trade.
- 24. Appendix Tables 3 and 4 show all developing country exporters of illicit capital in declining order of average annual outflows; estimates are based on a conservative (normalized) and a robust (non-normalized) method. As the rankings do not vary much between the two methods, we discuss the main changes in the rankings since the 2008 IFF Report with regard to the normalized estimates only. The top five exporters of illicit capital from developing countries over 2000-2008, remain unchanged between the 2008 IFF Report and the present update except for minor changes. While China continues to be the top exporter of illicit capital by far, Saudi Arabia and Russia which recorded the second and fourth highest average outflows in the 2008 IFF Report, now switch ranks (See Chart 5).





- 25. India, which was the fifth largest exporter of illicit capital in the 2008 IFF Report is now ranked 15th among developing countries. There are three main reasons why average illicit flows from India slipped in the country rankings and they have nothing to do with policies and conditions required for the curtailment of such outflows. For one, illicit outflows from several oil producers such as the United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, Venezuela, Qatar, Nigeria, Kazakhstan, and Indonesia (in that order) now outpace those from India. For another, there were substantial inflows of illicit capital into India (mostly through the balance of payments but also through trade mispricing) that were set to zero under the gross outflows method. As we have argued elsewhere, traditional economists commit a serious mistake when they net out unrecorded illicit inflows from outflows as if such inflows somehow benefit a country or can be used by a government for productive purposes. Finally, the United Arab Emirates and Qatar, which have the sixth and ninth highest average illicit outflows respectively, were excluded from the 2008 IFF Report because of lack of balance of payments and debt data. These two countries were included in this update after we were able to obtain the requisite macroeconomic data from published IMF country reports.
- 26. Table 3 shows that the top ten exporters of illicit capital (China, Russia, Mexico, Saudi Arabia, Malaysia, United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, Venezuela, Qatar, and Nigeria in declining order of magnitude), account for an average of 70 percent of cumulative illicit outflows from developing countries over the period 2000-2008. The group's share in total illicit outflows from developing countries which was 80 percent in 2000 declined to 66 percent in 2006-07 before increasing the next year to 76 percent (See Table 3 and Chart 6). There are significant variations in how individual country shares move over time. For instance, while China's role in driving illicit flows from developing countries diminished considerably with its share falling from 46 percent in 2000 to 27 percent in 2008, estimates in Table 3 show that Russia, the United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, and Nigeria, all of which are exporters of oil, are now becoming more important as exporters of illicit capital. Further research needs to be carried out on whether there is a link between oil prices and illicit flows from oil exporters. A few recent researchers such as Almounsor (2005) have conducted such studies.



Chart 6. Top Ten Countries (as percent of Developing World total)

Table 3. Total Normalized Illicit Financial Flows from the Top Ten Developing Countries(billions of U.S. dollars)

										Total Illicit	Average of
Country/Region	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Outflows	Outflows
China, P.R.: Mainland	169.13	183.62	153.85	183.27	251.47	277.05	288.55	324.75	344.31	2,175.99	241.78
Normalized CED	40.95	46.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	87.36	9.71
Normalized GER	128.18	137.22	153.85	183.27	251.47	277.05	288.55	324.75	344.31	2,088.64	232.07
China's Percent of all country IFF	46%	45%	40%	32%	36%	35%	32%	29%	27%	33%	
Russia	15.61	18.44	12.55	35.58	37.05	56.39	0.00	55.33	196.37	427.30	47.48
Normalized CED	15.61	18.44	12.55	35.58	37.05	56.39	0.00	55.33	196.37	427.30	47.48
Normalized GER	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Russia's percent of all country IFF	4%	4%	3%	6%	5%	7%	0%	5%	16%	7%	
Mexico	34.40	32.84	34.80	34.01	36.42	44.25	48.37	89.53	61.54	416.15	46.24
Normalized CED	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.66	0.00	30.66	3.41
Normalized GER	34.40	32.84	34.80	34.01	36.42	44.25	48.37	58.87	61.54	385.49	42.83
Mexico's percent of All Country IFF	9%	8%	9%	6%	5%	6%	5%	8%	5%	6%	
Saudia Arabia	9.07	8.18	0.00	34.91	50.74	47.39	52.31	59.03	39.88	301.51	33.50
Normalized CED	9.07	8.18	0.00	34.91	50.74	47.39	52.31	59.03	39.88	301.51	33.50
Normalized GER	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Saudia Arabia's Percent of all country IFF	2%	2%	0%	6%	7%	6%	6%	5%	3%	5%	
Malaysia	22.21	21.01	12.15	17.73	19.58	38.78	44.38	47.24	68.24	291.32	32.37
Normalized CED	11.23	9.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.18	22.43	19.95	39.15	119.74	13.30
Normalized GER	10.98	11.23	12.15	17.73	19.58	21.60	21.94	27.28	29.09	171.58	19.06
Malaysia's percent of all country IFF	6%	5%	3%	3%	3%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	
United Arab Emirates	10.21	6.34	0.00	14.56	27.60	47.58	72.16	20.18	77.26	275.90	30.66
Normalized CED	10.21	6.34	0.00	14.56	27.04	46.68	70.99	18.79	72.96	267.58	29.73
Normalized GER	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.90	1.17	1.39	4.30	8.32	0.92
United Arab Emirates' Percent of all country IFF	3%	2%	0%	3%	4%	6%	8%	2%	6%	4%	
Kuwait	12.85	8.41	6.18	16.15	15.53	29.29	44.31	55.99	53.46	242.16	26.91
Normalized CED	12.85	8.41	6.18	16.15	15.53	29.29	44.31	55.99	53.46	242.16	26.91
Normalized GER	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Kuwait's percent of all country IFF	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	
Venezuela, Rep. Bol.	14.24	6.64	9.33	8.53	14.84	27.22	18.39	26.50	31.41	157.10	17.46
Normalized CED	11.87	4.30	9.33	8.53	14.84	27.22	18.39	26.50	31.41	152.39	16.93
Normalized GER	2.37	2.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	0.52
Venezuela, Rep. Bol.'s percent of all country IFF	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	
Qatar	0.03	5.30	4.11	4.54	9.77	15.38	23.26	27.67	47.62	137.68	15.30
Normalized CED	0.00	4.92	4.11	4.54	9.77	15.38	23.26	27.67	47.62	137.27	15.25
Normalized GER	0.03	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.05
Qatar's Percent of all country IFF	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	
Nigeria	6.34	5.76	5.14	9.75	12.33	15.16	10.41	28.50	37.01	130.40	14.49
Normalized CED	6.34	2.85	5.14	9.75	12.33	15.16	10.41	28.50	37.01	127.48	14.16
Normalized GER	0.00	2.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.92	0.32
Nigeria's percent of All Country IFF	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	
Total of top 10 Countries	294.08	296.55	238.10	359.01	475.34	598.49	602.13	734.71	957.10	4,555.51	506.17
Top 10 Countries percent of all country IFFs	80%	72%	62%	62%	68%	76%	66%	66%	76%	70%	
Developing World total	369.30	410.90	386.76	578.82	700.53	782.84	914.68	1,117.28	1,264.34	6,525.44	725.05

27. Apart from differences in the extent to which major exporters of illicit capital drive such flows from developing countries, the conduit for the transfer of these funds also varies. For instance, while trade mispricing is the major channel for the transfer of illicit capital from China, the balance of payments (captured by the World Bank Residual or CED model) is the major conduit for the unrecorded transfer of capital from the major exporters of oil such as Kuwait, Nigeria, Qatar, Russia, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, and Venezuela. Mexico is the only oil exporter where trade mispricing is the preferred method of transferring illicit capital abroad while Malaysia is the only country in this group where both channels, CED and GER, are used in roughly comparable portions to transfer such capital.

IV. Projections for 2009

28. In this edition of our illicit flows study, we present projections of illicit flows for 2009 with the caveat that such forecasts are approximate due to the fact that the preliminary data on illicit flows are inherently imprecise. A successful projection will entail a deviation of projected values that are within five percent of corresponding estimates based on official balance of payments, trade, and debt data reported by member countries to the IMF.

29. GFI projects that in 2009, illicit flows from developing countries will grow by just 2.9 percent to US\$1.30 trillion from US\$1.26 trillion the year before (Chart 7).

This represents a significant slowdown from the 18.0 percent rate of growth (based on the slope of the logarithmic trend line) in total illicit flows that developing countries registered over the period 2000-2008. Notwithstanding the fact that we forecast illicit flows from Asia to increase by a little over 23 percent in 2009, the main reason for the slowdown in illicit outflows from the group as a whole are the projected sharp decline of 27.6 percent in such flows from developing Europe and smaller declines in outflows from Africa and MENA (7.5 percent and 2.1 percent respectively (Table 1).



Chart 7. Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries, 2000-2009 (millions of U.S. dollars)

30. The projected decline in the rate of growth in illicit outflows from developing countries in 2009 can be separated into the CED (balance of payments) and trade mispricing

(GER) components (See Table 1). The slowdown in illicit outflows last year can be better understood as an asymmetrical response of the CED and GER components to the global financial crisis. Trade mispricing, as captured by the GER model, is projected to decline by 4.7 percent over 2008 mainly due to the sharp drop in export and import volumes. The implication here is that, all things being equal, lower imports and exports of goods provide lesser opportunities to misprice trade leading to lower GER estimates. As overall outflows from developing countries still increase in 2009 (albeit at a much lower pace of 2.9 percent over the previous year), the CED component is projected to increase by 9.7 percent. The main reasons for an increase in the share of unrecorded outflows through the balance of payments in 2009 are the continuing increase in external debt (albeit at a slower pace), a slower accumulation of reserves (which peaked in 2007), and lower current account surpluses.

31. The significant decline in illicit flows from developing Europe was principally due to the decline in GER commensurate with the decline in trading volumes. Import and export volumes were projected by the IMF to fall sharply across developing Europe in 2009 (by 18 percent and 11 percent respectively for Central and Eastern Europe and 27 percent and 10.6 percent respectively for the Commonwealth of Independent States). The sharper fall in trading volumes is expected to reduce GER estimates for the region by 16.7 percent in 2009 from the previous year commensurate with our assumption that trade mispricing varies proportionately with the volume of trade. At the same time, balance of payments leakages from developing Europe are expected to decline in 2009 due primarily to a slowdown in the accumulation and availability of external debt as well as reduced inflows of foreign direct investments into the region. (See Table 1)

V. Focus on Asia

- 32. On a conservative basis, total illicit financial flows from Asia increased from US\$200.1 billion in 2000 to US\$495.1 billion in 2008 at a trend rate of 12.9 percent per annum. Over this nine-year period for Asia, 89.3 percent on average of total illicit flows were transferred abroad through trade mispricing, while unrecorded transfers through the balance of payments were responsible for shifting the remaining 10.7 percent (Appendix Table 12). Over time, the preferred method of making illicit transfers of capital out of Asia seems to be the unrecorded flows from trade mispricing (GER) rather than from the balance of payments (CED). This is concomitant with growing Asian trade volumes, particularly those of China.
- 33. Table 4 shows the normalized illicit flows from the top five Asian exporters of illicit capital China, Malaysia, Philippines, Indonesia, and India which on average account for 96.5 percent of total illicit flows from Asia and 44.9 percent of such flows out of all developing countries during the period 2000-2008. As estimates presented in the table show, these shares have been declining. For instance, the top five transferred 36.9 percent of illicit flows from Asia was more than offset globally by larger flight of capital from the oil exporters (mostly in MENA) and from developing Europe led by Russia, Poland, and Kazakhstan.

Table 4. Total Normalized Illicit Financial Flows from Top Five Asian Countries, 2000-2008(billions of U.S. dollars)

Country/Region	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total Illicit Outflows	Average of outflows
China, P.R.: Mainland	169.13	183.62	153.85	183.27	251.47	277.05	288.55	324.75	344.31	2,175.99	241.78
Normalized CED	40.95	46.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	87.36	9.71
Normalized GER	128.18	137.22	153.85	183.27	251.47	277.05	288.55	324.75	344.31	2,088.64	232.07
China's Percent of Asia's Total IFF	85%	80%	81%	73%	76%	69%	76%	77%	70%	75%	
Malaysia	22.21	21.01	12.15	17.73	19.58	38.78	44.38	47.24	68.24	291.32	32.37
Normalized CED	11.23	9.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.18	22.43	19.95	39.15	119.74	13.30
Normalized GER	10.98	11.23	12.15	17.73	19.58	21.60	21.94	27.28	29.09	171.58	19.06
Percent of Malaysia's IFF to Asia Total	11%	9%	6%	7%	6%	10%	12%	11%	14%	10%	
Philippines	5.67	6.54	7.09	10.29	11.97	15.66	15.80	19.84	16.42	109.28	12.14
Normalized CED	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Normalized GER	5.67	6.54	7.09	10.29	11.97	15.66	15.80	19.84	16.42	109.28	12.14
Percent of Philippines's IFF to Asia Total	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%	
Indonesia	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.52	14.55	26.49	12.61	13.86	16.45	104.47	11.61
Normalized CED	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.91	0.00	15.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.29	2.70
Normalized GER	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.61	14.55	11.11	12.61	13.86	16.45	80.18	8.91
Percent of Indonesia's IFF to Asia Total	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	7%	3%	3%	3%	4%	
India	0.00	11.88	8.09	9.42	22.66	30.61	0.00	0.00	21.45	104.12	11.57
Normalized CED	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Normalized GER	0.00	11.88	8.09	9.42	22.66	30.61	0.00	0.00	21.45	104.12	11.57
Percent of India's IFF to Asia Total	0%	5%	4%	4%	7%	8%	0%	0%	4%	4%	
Total of top 5 Asian Countries	197.01	223.07	181.18	241.22	320.22	388.58	361.34	405.69	466.87	2,785.18	309.46
Percent of top 5 IFFs to all Asia	98.44	97.71	95.43	96.40	97.07	96.37	95.55	96.75	94.30	96.23	96.45
Asia region (30-country) total	200.12	228.29	189.86	250.23	329.88	403.23	378.17	419.30	495.11	2,894.19	321.58
Developing World Total	369.30	410.90	386.76	578.82	700.53	782.84	914.68	1,117.28	1,264.34	6,525.44	0.73
Top 5 Asian Countries as Percent of Developing World Total	53.3%	54.3%	46.8%	41.7%	45.7%	49.6%	39.5%	36.3%	36.9%	42.7%	44.9%
Asia region total share of Developing World Total	54.2%	55.6%	49.1%	43.2%	47.1%	51.5%	41.3%	37.5%	39.2%	44.4%	46.5%
34. While China's share of flight capital from Asia has been falling steadily from 85 percent in 2000 to 70 percent in 2008, those from Malaysia, the Philippines, and Indonesia have been increasing (Chart 8). China's foreign exchange regulator has issued new rules to tighten controls on import payments to crack down on illegal capital flows. A recent paper by Cheung and Qian (2009) notes that gradual policy changes that make it easier for both Chinese and foreign corporations to move foreign exchange abroad may reduce the motivation for the transfer of illicit capital. However, they do not expect Chinese policymakers to implement dramatic measures to curtail capital controls and open up the capital account further, which might end up appreciating the renminbi at a faster pace than Chinese policymakers would like.



Chart 8. Top Five Asian Countries (as percent of total Asia region)

- 35. Estimates presented in Table 4 show that illicit financial flows from Malaysia have more than tripled from US\$22.2 billion in 2000 to US\$68.2 billion in 2008, a scale seen in few Asian countries (Table 4 and Chart 8). It is difficult to point out the reasons behind this massive outflow of illicit capital without carrying out an in-depth country case study which is outside the scope of the present update. It is clear however that significant governance issues affecting both the public and private sectors have been playing a key role in the cross-border transfer of illicit capital from the country. For instance, there are reports in the Malaysian media³ that large state-owned enterprises such as Petronas could probably be driving illicit flows. GFI's research also indicates that political instability, rising income inequality, and pervasive corruption are some of the structural and governance issues that could be driving illicit capital from many developing countries. In the case of Malaysia, the additional factor could well be the significant discrimination in labor markets which move people and unrecorded capital out of the country. As a result of some of these factors, the volume of illegal capital flight from Malaysia has come to dwarf legitimate capital inflows into the country in recent years.
- 36. There has been extensive research on capital flight from the Philippines. For instance, Beja (2006) finds that capital flight from the Philippines was US\$16 billion in the 1970s, US\$36 billion in the 1980s, and US\$43 billion in the 1990s which has led to a hollowing out of the economy. As large as these outflows are, they are based on the Traditional method used by economists according to which illicit inflows are netted out from outflows. Based on the gross outflow method used in this and other GFI studies (which sets all illicit inflows to zero), we estimate that the Philippines lost an estimated US\$109 billion in illicit outflows through both the balance of payments and trade mispricing over the nine-year period 2000-2008. Le and Zak (2006), in a study of 45 developing countries including the Philippines, find that interest differentials and various risks to capital stemming from economic and political instability have a statistically significant impact on capital flight. They found that political instability was an important factor in driving illicit flows particularly in the case of the Philippines.
- 37. Illicit outflows almost bankrupted Indonesia in the throes of the Asian financial crisis in 1997/98. The loss of much-needed capital has been the bane of its development policies since then. Today, any discussion of capital controls makes foreign investors looking to invest in Indonesia quite nervous. But the significant link between external debt and capital flight that researchers such as Boyce, Beja, and others find also worries Indonesian policymakers. A recent study in an Indonesian economic journal (original article in Indonesian) finds that for every US\$1.00 that had been lent to Indonesia, some 90 cents was transferred abroad in

³ Correspondent, Asia Sentenial. Malaysia's Disastrous Capital Flight . January 11, 2010. http://www.asiasentinel.com/index. php?option=com_content&task=view&id=2234&Itemid=229 (accessed November 30, 2010).

⁴ Reference, Capital Flight and Economic Crisis in Indonesia, Majalah Ekonomi, Vol. 2, No. 2, August 2003, Airlangga University, Indonesia.

unrecorded flows⁴. Estimates presented in Table 4 show that trade mispricing is the major conduit for the massive cross-border transfer of illicit capital which cumulated to US\$104.5 billion over the nine year period ending 2008. There is no question that poor public and corporate governance is a major driver of illicit financial flows from Indonesia.

38. The World Bank's Investment Climate Surveys have tended to rate Indonesia's investment climate worse than that of Malaysia or the Philippines. Policy uncertainty, corruption and the lack of confidence in the courts to uphold property rights are some of the major factors responsible for such an outcome. Indonesian policymakers are, doubtless, aware that economic and regulatory policy uncertainty is probably the dominant concern among foreign investors. Yet, at least in a recent World Bank survey, a high percentage of responding firms rated policy uncertainty in Indonesia as a dominant concern. Foreign firms also say that the problem of corruption is a bigger constraint on investment in Indonesia than in other countries. Lack of implementation of the law in a fair and timely manner is also a significant deterrent to major investments in the country according to recent World Bank investment climate surveys (e.g., 2005 and 2006).

39. Cumulative illicit flows from India totaled US\$104.1 billion during the period 2000-2008, almost equaling those out of Indonesia (Table 4). A recent GFI study (Drivers and Dynamics of Illicit Financial Flows from India: 1948-2008, Global Financial Integrity, Washington DC, 2010) found that macroeconomic conditions as reflected in central government budget deficits and inflation policy did not appear to drive such outflows of capital. However, this finding is subject to two limitations. First, a more comprehensive measure of fiscal imbalances (including the deteriorating finances of the state and local governments) available for the entire sample period of that case study, 1948-2008, could have better captured the significance of fiscal deficits in driving illicit flows. Second, the wholesale price index (WPI) used as a measure of inflation in that study may not be reliable enough to capture the link between inflation and illicit outflows posited in the economic literature. The most important finding of the GFI study on India is that while economic reform can be largely credited for driving faster economic growth, large sections of the population could not benefit from the growth, and income distribution became more skewed. The resulting proliferation of high net worth individuals drove illicit flows in the absence of an improvement in public and corporate governance. Moreover, another by-product of reform namely, trade liberalization, spurred an expansion of the traded sector relative to GDP. The resulting trade openness provided more opportunities for related and unrelated parties to misprice trade and shift billions of dollars in illicit capital from the country.

VI. Conclusion

- 40. Over the period 2000-2008, developing countries lost between US\$725 billion- US\$810 billion per annum, the lower and upper figure corresponding to the conservative and more robust method of estimation, respectively. For the sake of brevity and without losing significant detail, one can only look at the conservative estimates and draw certain conclusions. In current dollar terms, illicit flows increased by at least 18.0 percent per annum from US\$369.3 billion at the start of the decade to US\$1.3 trillion in 2008 (See Table 1). After adjusting for inflation, illegal flight capital grew by at least 12.7 percent over this period (See Table 2).
- 41. In real terms, outflows from MENA region (24.3 percent) grew faster than developing Europe (23.1 percent), Africa (21.9 percent), or other regions. The rapid growth in illicit flows from developing Europe was mainly driven by Russia, Eastern European countries such as Poland, and countries in Central Asia including Kazakhstan and the Ukraine. Over this period, illicit transfers from the balance of payments grew faster in real terms (16.6 percent per annum on average) than through trade mispricing (9.4 percent per annum) (See Table 2).
- 42. As noted in the 2008 IFF Report, Asia continues to dominate illicit flows from developing countries—the region accounted for 44.4 percent on average of such flows from the developing world during this period. Huge outflows of illicit capital from China account for Asia's dominance in such flows which is followed by a clustering of regional shares in cumulative illicit outflows from developing countries with MENA region at 17.9 percent, developing Europe at 17.8 percent, and Western Hemisphere at 15.4 percent. Illicit outflows from MENA increased faster (30.2 percent per annum) than developing Europe at nearly 29.0 percent, Africa at 27.7 percent per annum, or any other region. Asia's already high levels of illicit outflows slowed down to 12.9 percent per annum on average (See Table 1).
- 43. While trade mispricing accounts for an average of 54.7 percent of illicit flows from developing countries over the period 2000-2008, this share has been falling since 2004 when the share was 60.6 percent. Relative to 2006, the role of trade mispricing as a conduit for illicit flows declined significantly in 2007-2008 mainly as a result of the decline in trade volumes following the global economic crisis. Leakages through the balance of payments (CED component) as a result of the illicit transfer of the proceeds of bribery, theft, kickbacks, and tax evasion has been increasing relative to trade mispricing—on average they accounted for 45.3 percent of cumulative transfers of illicit capital during this nine-year period (See Table 1).
- 44. In real terms, illicit outflows through trade mispricing grew faster in the case of Africa (28.8 percent per annum) than from anywhere else easily outpacing corresponding outflows from Asia (10.2 percent), or Europe (5.1 percent rate) (Table 2). The relative

ranking of regions (in the pace with which they export illicit capital through trade mispricing) remains unchanged in current dollar terms. The faster pace of illicit outflows from Africa through trade mispricing can perhaps be attributed to weaker customs monitoring and enforcement regimes. Given that customs revenues are an important source of government tax revenues in Africa, the faster pace of trade mispricing calls for strengthening the role of customs in African countries to curtail the mispricing of trade.

- 45. The top 10 exporters of illicit capital (China, Russia, Mexico, Saudi Arabia, Malaysia, United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, Venezuela, Qatar, and Nigeria in declining order of magnitude), on average account for more than 70 percent of total outflows of illicit capital from developing countries. While China continues to be the top exporter of illicit capital by far, Saudi Arabia and Russia which recorded the second and fourth highest average outflows in the 2008 IFF Report, now switch ranks. The group's share in total illicit outflows from developing countries was 80 percent in 2000, declined to 66 percent in 2006-07, and increased the next year to 76 percent. There are significant variations in how individual country shares move over time.
- 46. Apart from differences in the extent to which major exporters of illicit capital drive such flows from developing countries, the conduit for the transfer of these funds also varies. For instance, while trade mispricing is the major channel for the transfer of illicit capital from China, the balance of payments (captured by the World Bank Residual or CED-change in external debt-model) is the major conduit for the unrecorded transfer of capital from the major exporters of oil such as Kuwait, Nigeria, Qatar, Russia, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, and Venezuela. Mexico is the only oil exporter where trade mispricing is the preferred method of transferring illicit capital abroad while Malaysia is the only country where the corrupt use roughly comparable portions of both channels (CED and GER) to transfer such capital.
- 47. Overall, we expect a slowdown in the rate of growth of illicit flows from developing countries in 2009 to just 2.9 percent year-on-year (compared to a the trend rate of growth of 18 percent per annum over 2000-2008), largely driven by a sharp drop in trading volumes, which squeeze or decrease opportunities to misprice trade. In addition, a large decline in balance of payments leakages mainly from the European region's developing countries, which is due to contracting of external debt and reduced inflows of foreign direct investment as a result of the global economic crisis, is expected to dampen illicit outflows from developing countries as a whole.
- 48. On a conservative basis, total illicit financial flows from Asia increased from US\$200.1 billion in 2000 to US\$495.1 billion in 2008 or at a trend growth rate of 12.9 percent per annum. Over this nine-year period for Asia, 89.3 percent on average of total illicit flows were transferred abroad through trade mispricing, while unrecorded transfers through the balance

of payments were responsible for shifting the balance (10.7 percent). Over time, the preferred method of making illicit transfers of capital out of Asia seems to be the unrecorded flows from trade mispricing (GER) rather than from the balance of payments (CED). This is concomitant with growing Asian trade volumes, particularly those of China.

- 49. The top five Asian exporters of illicit capital—China, Malaysia, Philippines, Indonesia, and India—on average account for 96.5 percent of total illicit flows from Asia and on average 44.9 percent of such flows from all developing countries per annum during the period 2000-2008. As estimates presented in Table 4 show, these shares have been declining. In 2008, the top five transferred 36.9 percent of illicit flows from all developing countries, down from 53.3 percent in 2000. The relative decline in illicit flows from Asia was more than offset globally by larger flight capital from the oil exporters (mostly in MENA) and from developing Europe led by Russia, Poland, and Kazakhstan.
- 50. While China's share of flight capital from Asia has been falling steadily from 85 percent in 2000 to 70 percent in 2008, those from Malaysia, the Philippines, and Indonesia have been increasing. Illicit flows from Malaysia have more than tripled from US\$22.2 billion in 2000 to US\$68.2 billion in 2008, a scale seen in few Asian countries. It is clear however that significant governance issues affecting both the public and private sectors have been playing a key role in the cross-border transfer of illicit capital from the country.
- 51. There has been extensive research on capital flight from the Philippines. For instance, Beja (2006) finds that capital flight from the Philippines was US\$16 billion in the 1970s, US\$36 billion in the 1980s, and US\$43 billion in the 1990s which has led to a hollowing out of the economy. As large as these outflows are, there are strong reasons to believe that they may be understating the actual impact of illicit flows on developing countries by giving undue credit (through netting out) to illicit inflows as if they somehow benefit an economy. Based on the gross outflow method used in this and other GFI studies (which sets all illicit inflows to zero), we estimate that the Philippines lost an estimated US\$109 billion in illicit outflows through both the balance of payments and trade mispricing over the nine-year period 2000-2008.

52. Illicit outflows almost bankrupted Indonesia in the throes of the Asian financial crisis in 1997/98. The loss of much-needed capital has been the bane of its development policies since then. Estimates presented in this report show that trade mispricing is the major conduit for the massive cross-border transfer of illicit capital which cumulated to US\$104.5 billion over the nine-year period ending 2008. There is no question that poor public and corporate governance is a major driver of illicit financial flows from Indonesia. The World Bank's Investment Climate Surveys have tended to rate Indonesia's investment climate

worse than that of Malaysia or the Philippines. Policy uncertainty, corruption, and the lack of confidence in the courts to uphold property rights are some of the major factors responsible for such an outcome.

53. Cumulative illicit flows from India totaled US\$104.1 billion during the period 2000-2008, almost equaling those out of Indonesia. A recent GFI study (*Drivers and Dynamics of Illicit Financial Flows from India: 1948-2008*, Global Financial Integrity, Washington DC, 2010) found that macroeconomic conditions as reflected in central government budget deficits and inflation policy did not appear to drive such outflows of capital. Instead, the GFI study found that while economic reform can be largely credited for driving faster economic growth, growth itself has not been inclusive and income distribution has become more skewed. The resulting proliferation of high net worth individuals drove illicit flows in the absence of an improvement in public and corporate governance. Moreover, another by-product of reform – namely, trade liberalization – spurred an expansion of the traded sector relative to GDP. The resulting trade openness provided more opportunities for related and unrelated parties to misprice trade and shift billions of dollars in illicit capital from the country.

References

- Albalkin, A., and Whalley J. "The Problem of Capital Flight from Russia." *The World Economy* 22, no. 3 May 1999: 412-444.
- Almounsor, Abdullah. "A Development Comparative Approach to Capital Flight: The Case of the Middle East and North Africa, 1970-2002." In *Capital Flight and Capital Controls in Developling Countries*, by Gerald A. Epstein (ed.), 234-261. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar, 2005.
- Baker, Raymond. *Capitalism's Achilles Heel: Dirty Money and How to Renew the Free Market System.* Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
- Beja, Edsel. "Capital Flight and the Hollowing Out of the Philippine Economy in the Neoliberal Regime." *Philippine Journal of Third World Studies* 21, no. 1 2006: 55-74.
- Cerra, Valerie, Meenakshi Rishis, and Sweta Saxena. "Robbing the Riches: Capital Flight, Institutions and Instability." *IMF Working Paper* 199, October 2005.
- Dooley, Michael P., and Kenneth M. Kletzer. "Capital Flight, External Debt and Domestic Policies." *NBER Working Paper* (National Bureau of Economic Research), no. 4793 1994.
- Dornbusch, Rudiger. "Capital Flight: Theory, Measurement and Policy Issues." *IADB Working Paper*, no. 2 1990.
- Gunter, Frank R. "Capital Flight from China." China Economic Review 15, 2004: 63-85.
- International Monetary Fund. Annual Report on Exchange Arrangements and Exchange Restrictions. Washington DC: IMF, 2010.
- Kant, Chander. "Foreign Direct Investment and Capital Flight." *Princeton Studies in International Finance* 80, 1996.
- Kar, Dev, and Devon Cartwrigh-Smith. *Illicit Financial Flows from Africa: Hidden Resource for Development*. Washington DC: Global Financial Integrity, 2010.
- Kar, Dev, and Devon Cartwright-Smith. *Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2002-2006.* Washington DC: Global Financial Integrity, 2008.
- Kar, Dev, Devon Cartwright-Smith, and Ann Hollingshead. *The Absorption of Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2002-2006.* Washington, DC: Global Financial Integrity, 2010
- Khan, Mohsin S., and Nadeem Ul Haque. "Foreign Borrowing and Capital Flight: A Formal Analysis." *IMF Staff Papers* 32, December 1985.
- Le, Quan V., and Paul J. Zak. "Political Risk and Capital Flight." *Journal of International Money and Finance* 20, no. 4 2006: 308-329.
- Le, Quan, and Rishi Meenakshi. "Corruption and Capital Flight: An Empirical Assessment." *International Economic Journal* 20, no. 4 December 2006: 534-540.
- Loungani, Prakash, and Paulo Mauro. "Capital Flight from Russia." *Conference on Post-Election Strategy*. Moscow, 2000.
- Moghadam, Mashaallah Rahnama, Hedayeh Samavati, and David A. Dilts. "An Examination of Capital Flight from East Asian Emerging Economies: Paradise Lost." *Journal of Asia-Pacific Business* 45, no. 1 November 2003: 33-49.
- Ndikumana, Leonce, and James, K. Boyce. "New Estimates of Capital Flight from sub-Saharan African Countries: Linkages with External Borrowing and Policy Options." *Working Paper* (University of Massachussets), April 2008.
- Ndung'u, Njuguna. "Keynote Address By Governor, Central Bank of Kenya." Senior Policy Seminar on Implications of Capital Flight for Macroeconomic Management and Growth in sub-Saharan Africa. Pretoria, South Africa, October 2007.

- NGO Documents for the Earth Summit. "Treaty 15: Capital Flight and Corruption." *Non-governmental Organization Alternative Treaties at the Global Forum,* 1992.
- Schneider, Benu. "Measuring Capital Flight: Estimates and Interpretations." Overseas Development Institute Working Paper, no. 194 1997.
- Thee, Kian Wie. "Policies for Private Sector Development in Asia." *ADB Institute Discussion Paper* 46, March 2006.
- Zhu, Andong, Chunxiang Li, and Gerald Epstein. "Capital Flight from China, 1982-2001." In *Capital Flight and Capital Controls in Developing Countries*, by Gerald A. (ed.) Epstein, 262-285. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar, 2005.

Appendix

Table 1. Non-Normalized Illicit Financial Flows Non-Normalized and in Current Dollars
broken down by region (in millions of U.S. dollars)
Table 2. Non-Normalized Illicit Financial Flows Estimates Broken Down by Region
Non-Normalized and in Constant Dollars
(millions of U.S. dollars, base 2005=100)
Table 3. Country Rankings: by Largest Average
Normalized (Conservative) IFF Estimates 2000-2008
(in millions of U.S. dollars)
Table 4. Country Rankings: by Largest Average
Non-Normalized (High-End) IFF Estimates 2000-2008
(millions of U.S. dollars)
Table 5. CED (Change in External Debt- Balance of Payments) Non-Normalized
(drops inflows (negative numbers) as well as missing data to zero)
(in millions of U.S. dollars)
Table 6. GER (Gross Excluding Reversals-Trade Mispricing) Non-Normalized
(drops inflows (negative numbers) as well as missing data to zero)
(millions of U.S. dollars)
Table 7. Cumulative Normalized and Non-Normalized Illicit
Financial Flows by Country 2000-2008 (millions of U.S. dollars)
Table 8. CED (Change in External Debt- Balance of Payments) Normalized
(millions of U.S. dollars)
Table 9. GER (Gross Excluding Reversals-Trade Mispricing) Normalized
(millions of U.S. dollars)
Table 10. Countries for which estimation of illicit flows
could not be completed due to missing data62
Table 11. Countries Dropped to Zero Due to Normalization
Table 12. CED and GER Components in Total Illicit Flows from Developing Countries and Regions .
(millions of U.S. dollars and percent, 2000-2008)

Table 1. Non-Normalized Illicit Financial Flows broken down by regionNon-Normalized and in Current Dollars(in millions of U.S. dollars)

CED (Change in External Debt, Balance of Payments component) Non-Normalized (in current dollars)								
Region/Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Africa	9,870.89	15,136.53	19,216.85	27,393.72	25,440.20			
Asia	52,456.21	59,841.72	29,676.53	27,782.59	18,385.64			
Europe	33,007.71	40,714.19	52,974.25	90,246.48	105,571.21			
MENA	45,984.33	36,367.96	39,522.54	104,568.63	115,757.01			
Western Hemisphere	26,196.24	46,596.50	47,063.96	60,124.14	51,459.55			
All Developing Countries	167,515.38	198,656.91	188,454.13	310,115.56	316,613.61			

GER (Gross Excluding Reversals, Trade Mispricing component) Non-Normalized (in current dollars)									
Region/Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004				
Africa	3,217.47	7,174.04	3,491.42	4,334.51	14,025.83				
Asia	149,811.78	170,615.43	185,113.04	236,183.15	325,646.20				
Europe	5,986.81	32,366.36	9,519.97	12,830.85	33,174.78				
MENA	3,545.98	5,080.61	3,646.84	3,623.05	18,415.55				
Western Hemisphere	53,735.64	52,721.42	52,134.35	52,893.96	63,024.03				
All Developing Countries	216,297.68	267,957.87	253,905.61	309,865.52	454,286.39				

Total CED + GER Non-Normalized (in current dollars)									
Region/Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004				
Africa	13,088.36	22,310.57	22,708.27	31,728.23	39,466.03				
Asia	202,267.99	230,457.15	214,789.57	263,965.74	344,031.84				
Europe	38,994.52	73,080.55	62,494.22	103,077.33	138,745.99				
MENA	49,530.31	41,448.58	43,169.38	108,191.68	134,172.56				
Western Hemisphere	79,931.87	99,317.92	99,198.31	113,018.10	114,483.57				
All Developing Countries	383,813.06	466,614.77	442,359.75	619,981.08	770,900.00				
CED Percent of Total	43.6	42.6	42.6	50.0	41.1				
GER Percent of Total	56.4	57.4	57.4	50.0	58.9				

2005	2006	2007	2008	Totals	Average	Logarithmic Growth
21,973.67	22,700.68	43,948.57	42,561.22	228,242.32	25,360.26	16.49
54,855.73	104,404.92	136,222.31	132,797.28	616,422.93	68,491.44	16.92
93,617.10	151,695.08	249,886.75	306,860.82	1,124,573.60	124,952.62	31.66
146,600.58	230,652.99	194,661.75	241,278.45	1,155,394.24	128,377.14	29.54
39,437.70	57,292.82	108,305.96	81,769.20	518,246.06	57,582.90	12.48
356,484.78	566,746.48	733,025.33	805,266.97	3,642,879.15	404,764.35	23.24

2005	2006	2007	2008	Totals	Average	Logarithmic Growth
15,018.13	22,952.54	31,947.85	35,210.88	137,372.67	15,263.63	37.39
366,193.68	357,839.32	397,152.71	449,005.33	2,637,560.64	293,062.29	15.57
7,654.49	22,183.23	25,876.47	24,416.68	174,009.65	19,334.41	10.75
9,866.95	9,827.06	7,419.37	15,694.17	77,119.59	8,568.84	18.27
73,358.28	71,908.29	89,497.67	110,573.01	619,846.65	68,871.85	9.49
472,091.53	484,710.44	551,894.08	634,900.07	3,645,909.20	405,101.02	14.62

2005	2006	2007	2008	Totals	Average	Logarithmic Growth
36,991.80	45,653.21	75,896.42	77,772.11	365,614.99	40,623.89	22.86
421,049.40	462,244.25	533,375.02	581,802.62	3,253,983.58	361,553.73	15.69
101,271.59	173,878.31	275,763.22	331,277.51	1,298,583.24	144,287.03	27.49
156,467.53	240,480.05	202,081.13	256,972.61	1,232,513.83	136,945.98	28.7
112,795.99	129,201.11	197,803.63	192,342.20	1,138,092.71	126,454.75	10.71
828,576.31	1,051,456.93	1,284,919.41	1,440,167.04	7,288,788.35	809,865.37	18.82
43.0	53.9	57.0	55.9	50.0	47.8	
57.0	46.1	43.0	44.1	50.0	52.2	

Table 2. Non-Normalized Illicit Financial Flows EstimatesBroken Down by RegionNon-Normalized and in Constant Dollars(millions of U.S. dollars, base 2005=100)

CED (Change in External Debt, Balance of Payments component)								
Region/Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Africa	117.06	177.54	230.69	312.16	273.02			
Asia	622.09	701.91	356.25	316.60	197.31			
Europe	391.44	477.56	635.93	1,028.40	1,132.97			
MENA	545.34	426.58	474.45	1,191.61	1,242.28			
Western Hemisphere	310.67	546.55	564.98	685.14	552.25			
All Developing Countries	1,986.59	2,330.15	2,262.30	3,533.92	3,397.83			

GER (Gross Excluding Reversals, Trade Mispricing component)

Region/Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Africa	38.16	84.15	41.91	49.39	150.52			
Asia	1,776.64	2,001.24	2,222.19	2,691.42	3,494.77			
Europe	71.00	379.64	114.28	146.21	356.03			
MENA	42.05	59.59	43.78	41.29	197.63			
Western Hemisphere	637.26	618.40	625.85	602.75	676.36			
All Developing Countries	2,565.11	3,143.02	3,048.01	3,531.07	4,875.31			

Total CED + GE

Total CED + GER						
Region/Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Africa	155.22	261.69	272.60	361.56	423.54	
Asia	2,398.73	2,703.15	2,578.44	3,008.02	3,692.08	
Europe	462.44	857.20	750.21	1,174.62	1,488.99	
MENA	587.39	486.17	518.23	1,232.90	1,439.91	
Western Hemisphere	947.92	1,164.95	1,190.83	1,287.90	1,228.61	
All Developing Countries	4,551.70	5,473.17	5,310.31	7,064.99	8,273.15	
CED Percent of Total	43.6	42.6	42.6	50.0	41.1	
GER Percent of Total	56.4	57.4	57.4	50.0	58.9	

2005	2006	2007	2008	Totals	Average	Logarithmic Growth
219.74	216.88	400.65	353.35	2,301.09	255.68	11.23
548.56	997.47	1,241.84	1,102.49	6,084.52	676.06	11.65
936.17	1,449.27	2,278.03	2,547.58	10,877.36	1,208.60	25.72
1,466.01	2,203.62	1,774.59	2,003.11	11,327.58	1,258.62	23.70
394.38	547.37	987.35	678.85	5,267.54	585.28	7.40
3,564.85	5,414.60	6,682.46	6,685.38	35,858.08	3,984.23	17.68173119

-

2005	2006	2007	2008	Totals	Average	Logarithmic Growth
150.18	219.28	291.25	292.32	1,317.17	146.35	31.20
3,661.94	3,418.74	3,620.55	3,727.67	26,615.16	2,957.24	10.36
76.54	211.93	235.90	202.71	1,794.25	199.36	5.75
98.67	93.89	67.64	130.29	774.83	86.09	12.94
733.58	687.00	815.88	917.98	6,315.07	701.67	4.56
4,720.92	4,630.84	5,031.21	5,270.98	36,816.47	4,090.72	9.45

2005	2006	2007	2008	Totals	Average	Logarithmic Growth
369.92	436.16	691.89	645.67	3,618.25	402.03	17.31
4,210.49	4,416.21	4,862.39	4,830.16	32,699.68	3,633.30	10.47
1,012.72	1,661.20	2,513.93	2,750.29	12,671.60	1,407.96	21.74
1,564.68	2,297.51	1,842.23	2,133.40	12,102.41	1,344.71	22.90
1,127.96	1,234.37	1,803.23	1,596.84	11,582.61	1,286.96	5.72
8,285.76	10,045.45	11,713.67	11,956.36	72,674.55	8,074.95	13.47
43.0	53.9	57.0	55.9	49.3	47.8	
57.0	46.1	43.0	44.1	50.7	52.2	

Table 3. Country Rankings: by Largest AverageNormalized (Conservative) IFF Estimates 2000-2008(in millions of U.S. dollars)

Rank	Country	Average of all years
1	China, P.R.: Mainland	241,777
2	Russia	47,478
3	Mexico	46,239
4	Saudi Arabia	33,501
5	Malaysia	32,369
6	United Arab Emirates	30,655
7	Kuwait	26,907
8	Venezuela, Rep. Bol.	17,455
9	Qatar	15,298
10	Nigeria	14,489
11	Kazakhstan	14,001
12	Philippines	12,142
13	Poland	11,832
14	Indonesia	11,608
15	India	11,569
16	Argentina	10,019
17	Ukraine	9,151
18	Turkey	8,539
19	Chile	7,775
20	Czech Republic	7,335
21	Egypt	6,357
22	South Africa	6,092
23	Iran, I.R. of	5,279
24	Thailand	5,140
25	Slovenia	4,494
26	Costa Rica	4,359
27	Panama	3,940
28	Romania	3,678
29	Belarus	3,558
30	Brunei Darussalam	3,488
31	Syrian Arab Republic	3,372
32	Latvia	2,876

Rank	Country	Average of all years
33	Honduras	2,828
34	Aruba	2,725
35	Brazil	2,614
36	Azerbaijan, Rep. of	2,298
37	Trinidad & Tobago	2,236
38	Bulgaria	2,176
39	Croatia	2,143
40	Oman	2,110
41	Colombia	2,076
42	Angola	2,030
43	Cyprus	2,028
44	Israel	1,689
45	Estonia	1,670
46	Bangladesh	1,581
47	Ecuador	1,545
48	Dominican Republic	1,517
49	Slovak Republic	1,362
50	Guatemala	1,354
51	Congo, Republic of	1,327
52	Lithuania	1,249
53	Lebanon	1,233
54	Côte D'Ivoire	1,095
55	Bahrain, Kingdom of	1,076
56	El Salvador	1,027
57	Tunisia	971
58	Uruguay	837
59	Nicaragua	774
60	Congo, Dem. Rep. of	740
61	Jamaica	706
62	Namibia	701
63	Ethiopia	680
64	Malta	592

Rank	Country	Average of all years
65	Bolivia	590
66	Mali	590
67	Gabon	583
68	Nepal	563
69	Armenia	557
70	Guinea	527
71	Algeria	516
72	Paraguay	476
73	Zimbabwe	458
74	Georgia	456
75	Sudan	444
76	Equatorial Guinea	423
77	Madagascar	412
78	Macedonia, FYR	406
79	Morocco	381
80	Jordan	381
81	Zambia	375
82	Moldova	375
83	Botswana	336
84	Lao People's Dem.Rep	333
85	Myanmar	317
86	Peru	311
87	Turkmenistan	276
88	Cambodia	263
89	Tajikistan	223
90	Barbados	214
91	Seychelles	198
92	Serbia & Montenegro	179
93	Togo	169
94	Liberia	163
95	Maldives	143
96	Bosnia & Herzegovina	141

Rank	Country	Average of all years
97	Bahamas, The	121
98	Yemen, Republic of	119
99	Mongolia	116
100	Djibouti	115
101	Mauritania	112
102	Rwanda	101
103	Papua New Guinea	89
104	Swaziland	79
105	Lesotho	70
106	Albania	66
107	Burkina Faso	63
108	Mozambique	58
109	Central African Rep.	56
110	Belize	43
111	Gambia, The	43
112	Samoa	38
113	Niger	31
114	Grenada	28
115	Solomon Islands	27
116	Burundi	25
117	Cape Verde	19
118	Antigua & Barbuda	16
119	St. Kitts	16
120	Vanuatu	13
121	Guinea-Bissau	13
122	Iraq	13
123	St. Lucia	9
124	Comoros	8
125	Dominica	6

Table 4. Country Rankings: by Largest Average Non-Normalized (High-End) IFF Estimates 2000-2008 (millions of U.S. dollars)

Rank	Country	Average of positive outflows only	Rank	
1	China, P.R.: Mainland	266,840	39	Hono
2	Russia	53,141	40	Bulg
3	Mexico	51,378	41	Arub
4	Saudi Arabia	34,422	42	Ango
5	Malaysia	33,769	43	Paki
6	United Arab Emirates	31,139	44	0ma
7	Kuwait	27,114	45	Croa
8	Venezuela, Rep. Bol.	17,781	46	Lithu
9	Nigeria	16,987	47	Alge
10	Poland	16,887	48	Trini
11	Indonesia	16,792	49	Cypr
12	Qatar	15,838	50	Ecua
13	Philippines	14,452	51	Esto
14	India	14,278	52	Guat
15	Kazakhstan	14,096	53	Bang
16	Argentina	12,164	54	Dom
17	Ukraine	10,757	55	Bahr
18	Chile	9,192	56	Peru
19	Turkey	8,885	57	More
20	Thailand	8,234	58	Leba
21	South Africa	7,944	59	Côte
22	Czech Republic	7,335	60	Cong
23	Brazil	7,317	61	Tunis
24	Iran, I.R. of	6,892	62	El Sa
25	Egypt	6,357	63	Ethic
26	Slovenia	5,834	64	Suda
27	Azerbaijan, Rep. of	4,490	65	Urug
28	Costa Rica	4,470	66	Nam
29	Syrian Arab Republic	4,215	67	Malt
30	Romania	4,209	68	Nica
31	Panama	3,942	69	Cong
32	Belarus	3,858	70	Vietr
33	Serbia	3,502	71	Jam
34	Brunei Darussalam	3,488	72	Serb
35	Colombia	3,084	73	Gabo
36	Israel	3,011	74	Zam
37	Slovak Republic	2,999	75	Cam
38	Latvia	2,940	76	Boliv

Rank	Country	Average of positive outflows only
39	Honduras	2,867
40	Bulgaria	2,749
41	Aruba	2,725
42	Angola	2,685
43	Pakistan	2,532
44	Oman	2,510
45	Croatia	2,403
46	Lithuania	2,387
47	Algeria	2,267
48	Trinidad & Tobago	2,261
49	Cyprus	2,028
50	Ecuador	1,913
51	Estonia	1,857
52	Guatemala	1,850
53	Bangladesh	1,744
54	Dominican Republic	1,706
55	Bahrain, Kingdom of	1,637
56	Peru	1,625
57	Morocco	1,479
58	Lebanon	1,388
59	Côte D'Ivoire	1,365
60	Congo, Republic of	1,354
61	Tunisia	1,164
62	El Salvador	1,072
63	Ethiopia	920
64	Sudan	870
65	Uruguay	855
66	Namibia	811
67	Malta	780
68	Nicaragua	774
69	Congo, Dem. Rep. of	773
70	Vietnam	757
71	Jamaica	724
72	Serbia & Montenegro	693
73	Gabon	664
74	Zambia	623
75	Cameroon	607
76	Bolivia	604

Rank	Country	Average of positive outflows only	Rank	
77	Mali	596	115	Djibouti
78	Guinea	582	116	Rwanda
79	Paraguay	582	117	Ghana
80	Jordan	577	118	Mauritiu
81	Nepal	566	119	Somalia
82	Armenia	557	120	Kyrgyz R
83	Macedonia, FYR	534	121	Benin
84	Sri Lanka	521	122	Burkina
85	Zimbabwe	515	123	Central A
86	Uganda	509	124	Haiti
87	Georgia	474	125	Burundi
88	Equatorial Guinea	459	126	Belize
89	Madagascar	449	127	Niger
90	Tanzania	437	128	Chad
91	Botswana	434	129	Libya
92	Moldova	401	130	Sierra Le
93	Myanmar	401	131	Samoa
94	Cambodia	391	132	Gambia,
95	Lao People's Dem.Rep	359	133	Guyana
6	Turkmenistan	280	134	Iraq
)7	Bosnia & Herzegovina	276	135	St. Lucia
8	Barbados	262	136	Senegal
99	Yemen, Republic of	253	137	Grenada
100	Tajikistan	227	138	Eritrea
101	Kenya	217	139	Antigua
102	Seychelles	200	140	Solomon
103	Liberia	185	141	Guinea-E
104	Mongolia	185	142	Fiji
105	Mozambique	182	143	Cape Ver
106	Swaziland	182	144	Montene
107	Togo	180	145	St. Kitts
108	Mauritania	175	146	Vanuatu
109	St. Vincent & Grens.	151	147	Dominic
110	Papua New Guinea	150	148	Surinam
111	Maldives	147	149	Comoros
112	Bahamas, The	127	150	Afghanis
113	Lesotho	126	151	Malawi
114	Albania	121	152	Tonga

Rank	Country	Average of positive outflows only
115	Djibouti	115
116	Rwanda	104
117	Ghana	96
118	Mauritius	90
119	Somalia	72
120	Kyrgyz Republic	68
121	Benin	68
122	Burkina Faso	63
123	Central African Rep.	56
124	Haiti	54
125	Burundi	53
126	Belize	51
127	Niger	50
128	Chad	50
129	Libya	48
130	Sierra Leone	47
131	Samoa	44
132	Gambia, The	43
133	Guyana	34
134	Iraq	34
135	St. Lucia	34
136	Senegal	33
137	Grenada	32
138	Eritrea	32
139	Antigua & Barbuda	31
140	Solomon Islands	30
141	Guinea-Bissau	29
142	Fiji	29
143	Cape Verde	27
144	Montenegro	27
145	St. Kitts	20
146	Vanuatu	17
147	Dominica	14
148	Suriname	13
149	Comoros	8
150	Afghanistan, I.R. of	7
151	Malawi	3
152	Tonga	1

Table 5. CED (Change in External Debt- Balance of Payments) Non-Normalized
(drops inflows (negative numbers) as well as missing data to zero)
(in millions of U.S. dollars)

										Total of outflow (positives)	Average of outflow years
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	only	only
Afghanistan, I.R. of											
Albania	206	-120	-279	38	-256	-179	-110	-273	-965	244	122
Algeria	-628	-761	1,943	2,699	1,127	-26	1,959	2,436	-481	10,163	2,033
Angola	152	207	2,155	2,455	1,987	4,257	2,707	7,417	2,458	23,796	2,644
Antigua & Barbuda	15	47	5	-51	-31	-33	77	9	-202	154	31
Argentina	1,510	17,984	12,366	20,898	3,479	-37,258	-1,718	11,057	15,781	83,075	11,868
Armenia	-179	225	182	155	306	-108	129	459	296	1,752	250
Aruba	375	-3	52	-46	283	82	532	-227	-151	1,324	265
Azerbaijan, Rep. of	-58	80	505	496	-273	589	1,656	3,247	14,191	20,764	2,966
Bahamas, The	-367	-540	-299	-331	-322	-50	-623	-560	-333	0	0
Bahrain, Kingdom of	984	-33	-57	-68	143	1,093	3,300	1,579	2,725	9,824	1,637
Bangladesh	-751	-708	2,179	1,241	873	-257	2,457	1,460	2,492	10,703	1,784
Barbados	-198	-186	348	-292	-179	-507	-122	-267	55	403	202
Belarus	-418	-105	581	92	-489	906	-481	949	-153	2,528	632
Belize	29	-25	7	43	-116	32	49	103	-28	263	44
Benin	-208	-111	120	-296	-4	-368	-1,362	-405	-345	120	120
Bhutan											
Bolivia	563	-631	938	914	663	604	-800	-789	694	4,377	729
Bosnia & Herzegovina	70	-1,501	-332	-128	-234	-1,065	-32	1,144	-2,000	1,214	607
Botswana	181	26	571	528	768	499	655	244	-445	3,472	434
Brazil	5,978	-14,344	8,056	9,490	2,878	-9,859	-20,285	-14,459	11,582	37,984	7,597
Brunei Darussalam		2,019	1,945	2,585	2,925	4,271	5,271	4,944	7,133	31,093	3,887
Bulgaria	79	-992	953	1,991	1,676	276	5,085	9,345	905	20,311	2,539
Burkina Faso	-426	-243								0	0
Burundi	-64	-52	87	81	28	-108	-62	-127	-329	196	65
Cambodia	8	59	146	86	123	66	90	177	-337	755	94
Cameroon	-544	-1,275	314	872	-1,058	-3,510	-4,400	-719	-971	1,186	593
Cape Verde	-24	3	-15	3	-77	-3	38	-37	26	70	17
Central African Rep.											
Chad	-116	-116	-943	-271	347	-150	-162	-200	-463	347	347
Chile	2,126	3,430	4,029	3,880	8,860	6,211	12,318	29,122	5,954	75,930	8,437
China,P.R.: Mainland	40,955	46,404	8,305	-22,034	-28,810	13,971	66,825	81,114	55,346	312,920	44,703
Colombia	1,570	2,555	-3,184	3,794	413	1,790	3,146	3,107	1,801	18,177	2,272
Comoros	2	5	19	11	10	-19	-1	-19	-45	47	9
Congo, Dem. Rep. of	348	366	-1,334	2,340	678	-569	1,240	1,686	259	6,917	988
Congo, Republic of	488	-207	1,032	1,202	1,483	67	1,829	-675	-233	6,102	1,017
Costa Rica	339	28	-217	-82	-33	304	-175	187	139	997	199
Côte D'Ivoire	-1,128	-728	591	1,696	1,298	-787	1,335	915	-271	5,834	1,167
Croatia	1,018	1,029	1,127	-6,747	2,913	-624	475	4,301	8,423	19,287	2,755
Cyprus	-182	385	1,337	2,085	2,492	1,427	1,054	-7,049	-11,398	8,781	1,463
Czech Republic	304	797	1,964	3,535	8,811	6,848	11,122	21,109	3,019	57,508	6,390
Djibouti	-23	17	94	89	77	37	106	217	12	650	81

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives) only	Average of outflow years only
Dominica	7	11	-27	34	-9	-102	-58	-9	-63	52	17
Dominican Republic	-148	414	1,764	2,392	2,062	-364	1,334	440	-1,198	8,406	1,401
Ecuador	-2,155	747	1,679	743	419	60	2,027	766	616	7,056	882
Egypt	-350	-427	1,686	4,308	6,257	-418	8,618	9,328	4,191	34,389	5,731
El Salvador	571	1,131	639	1,582	123	355	384	-385	-613	4,786	684
Equatorial Guinea	-46	412	23	75	-354	-288	1,598	1,797	195	4,100	683
Eritrea	32									32	32
Estonia	-344	309	215	1,738	1,994	2,994	1,455	5,031	1,817	15,552	1,944
Ethiopia	-157	-4	1,141	687	-751	-1,431	-5,361	278	-1,817	2,106	702
Fiji	-104	-18	91	-25	-17	-103	5	-181	-113	96	48
Gabon	678	115	375	1,159	1,370	1,667	282	-1,434	-717	5,646	807
Gambia, The	11	60	91	73	32	-3	55	32	-235	354	51
Georgia	-133	-58	19	62	81	-433	-367	-385	-441	161	54
Ghana	-180	-89	369	54	-1,268	-1,415	-4,323	-458	-175	423	211
Grenada	8	-12	24	-33	8	-121	-63	-88	-126	41	14
Guatemala	-1,390	-836	-1,002	-809	3,121	256	103	981	-48	4,462	1,116
Guinea	-201	-193	73	103	-96	-281	209	110	-239	494	124
Guinea-Bissau		-62	55	52	34					142	47
Guyana	-87	-55	30	37	-69	-191	-178	-401	-2	68	34
Haiti	-60	-50	-39	84	-141	27	145	-144	-75	257	86
Honduras	-149	-729	215	130	-58	-890	-1,015	-1,137	-936	345	173
India	-6,427	-4,810	-1,674	-1,947	-14,562	-22,573	-9,298	-29,336	7,344	7,344	7,344
Indonesia	-9,730	-6,007	-298	8,908	4,395	15,380	-7,609	10,166	13,676	52,526	10,505
Iran, I.R. of	9,805	3,309	2,649	6,991	-762	2,725	4,367	11,871	12,884	54,600	6,825
Iraq											
Israel	-8,291	578	7,686	1,059	-3,586	366	7,511	868	-18,675	18,069	3,011
Jamaica	325	-422	-288	433	178	-643	775	1,284	-1,627	2,994	599
Jordan	-482	663	393	802	605	-1,004	962	-802	-2,293	3,425	685
Kazakhstan	7,819	3,541	3,698	5,193	11,820	13,669	24,342	24,789	30,122	124,993	13,888
Kenya	-530	-1,111	508	540	-75	-1,012	-969	-454	-1,387	1,048	524
Kiribati											
Kuwait	12,847	8,406	6,183	16,148	15,530	29,291	44,312	55,988	53,459	242,164	26,907
Kyrgyz Republic	-13	-148	66	112	82	-159	64	-188	-485	324	81
Lao People's Dem.Rep	-41	-60	501	-786	109	51	674	1,247	471	3,052	509
Latvia	831	-378	1,139	1,259	2,274	-134	3,279	11,088	-148	19,868	3,311
Lebanon	1,624	1,901	775	-6,400	1,974	-1,079	2,208	2,012	-9,374	10,492	1,749
Lesotho	-56	-222	121	92	105	-158	-23	111	199	628	126
Liberia	305	193	320	325	137	-14	110	-573	-1,324	1,390	232
Libya											
Lithuania	-123	110	430	-356	1,918	-891	3,961	5,360	-786	11,779	2,356
Macedonia, FYR	-107	151	62	75	790	-327	334	828	-55	2,238	373
Madagascar	-261	-567	-90	93	-1,886	-777	-2,338	-123	-626	93	93

Cont. on next page

Table 5. (cont.)

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives)	Average of outflow years
Malawi	_175	-86	2002	2003	2004	2003	2000	2007	2000	01119	0111y
Malavsia	11 229	9 789	8 015	4 589	-809	 17179	22 434	19 953	39 153	132 340	16 543
Maldives	-47	12	-26	-21	-81	-223	-238	-408	-158	102,040	10,040
Mali	-450	-262	-148	-69	57	-434	-1 730	-178	-729	57	57
Malta							.,				
Marshall Islands											
Mauritania	-132	-65	-3	483	-37	-39	-484	-316	86	569	285
Mauritius	-340	199	-13	9	-158	-304	-521	-551	-863	207	104
Mexico	-19,343	13,328	1,830	4,611	10,344	1,122	5,418	30,658	9,599	76,909	9,614
Micronesia											
Moldova	654	15	201	66	-42	-56	91	63	-142	1,090	182
Mongolia	-27	-46	35	325	283	171	269			1,083	217
Montenegro								53	-205	53	53
Morocco	-1,953	-1,387	478	2,451	-1,389	-424	2,352	2,766	-791	8,048	2,012
Mozambique	-673	-2,755	-461	-1,813	369	-838	-2,185	-562	-307	369	369
Myanmar	-6	-381	1,116	893	120	162	845			3,136	627
Namibia	359	349	276	1,369	1,330	178	1,715	912	-58	6,488	811
Nepal	-575	-304	532	149	116	-193	27	220	-14	1,044	209
Nicaragua	-606	-982	-556	-100	-2,320	-583	-1,431	-1,475	-781	0	0
Niger	-134	-187	60	105	-286	-309	-1,505	-298	-359	165	83
Nigeria	6,336	2,846	5,135	9,751	12,333	15,164	10,409	28,497	37,012	127,482	14,165
Oman	948	1,073	1,741	752	85	3,673	4,867	-784	6,241	19,380	2,422
Pakistan	-889	-1,612	2,055	3,240	1,769	-4,003	-1,138	-410	4,450	11,514	2,879
Palau											
Panama	-203	875	14	874	1,157	-362	3,086	-392	-376	6,006	1,201
Papua New Guinea	230	67	-207	171	-103	570	-256	-223	76	1,114	223
Paraguay	-148	-430	378	76	375	-465	101	-99	-8	931	233
Peru	-922	-1,510	893	1,566	749	-360	2,667	-3,209	-2,377	5,875	1,469
Philippines	-58	-1,930	3,104	3,515	1,757	2,717	3,854	3,576	2,266	20,789	2,970
Poland	-1,779	1,230	8,608	14,776	12,363	-2,057	29,706	41,033	9,441	117,157	16,737
Qatar		4,923	4,108	4,537	9,771	15,382	23,255	27,672	47,618	137,266	17,158
Romania	918	-1,054	1,852	3,291	920	519	6,829	10,487	10,641	35,458	4,432
Russia	15,607	18,443	12,546	35,579	37,046	56,387	14,606	55,327	196,367	441,908	49,101
Rwanda	-159	-119	5	22	-4	-278	-1,285	-11	-111	26	13
Samoa					-24	-38	5	-15		5	5
Sao Iome & Principe	-33	-24	1	-22	-9	-110	-10	-235	-40	1	1
Saudi Arabia	9,071	8,182	4,123	34,905	50,744	47,390	52,314	59,027	39,877	305,633	33,959
Seriegai	-630	-248	74	-126	-1,048	-705	-2,603	-529	-1,120	0.400	1 0 1 0
Sorbia & Montonagra	160		3 350	0 551		1 204	-1,420	1,039	597	2,430	1,210
Sevenelles	-109	-70	-0,000	2,001	-2,434	106	365		 _1/F	2,551	2,001
Siorra Loono	4	-70	-10	115	0/	159	200	_1 051	101	105	101
Slovak Republic	620	-01	_424	3 425	24	2 2/10	-322	3 606	5 717	22 575	3 365
Siovan ilopublic	009	502	724	0,720	5,043	2,040	7,410	0,000	5,717	20,010	0,000

										Total of outflow (positives)	Average of outflow years
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	only	only
Slovenia	-415	-361	1,411	3,566	3,920	4,877	5,002	15,135	6,539	40,450	5,779
Solomon Islands	-57	-76	-48	-25	27	-66	-16	-43	-17	27	27
Somalia											
South Africa	975	10,339	4,138	1,148	-13,980	-4,714	-19,115	-15,467	-12,212	16,600	4,150
Sri Lanka	-1,082	-711	513	205	357	-779	-741	834	-933	1,909	477
St. Kitts	54	31	-9	14	-27	13	6	-2	-33	118	24
St. Lucia	-17	-31	-26	-16	-17	25	-96	-25	186	211	105
St. Vincent & Grens.	1	-25	-21	-2	-32	-32	-30	-100	-58	1	1
Sudan	-357	-547	221	1,084	1,002	-2,099	-239	341	1,687	4,335	867
Suriname	-173	-239	-121	-316	-253	-131	-141	-333	86	86	86
Swaziland	-87	-21	250	154	152	-107	48	303	-187	908	182
Syrian Arab Republic	499	-124	698	13,027	2,257	-10,855	2,207	605	1,322	20,615	2,945
Tajikistan	-280	-32	104	-3	58	34	261	12	907	1,377	229
Tanzania	-953	-864	597	-143	1,011	-346	-4,980	-412	-708	1,608	804
Thailand	-2,740	-4,096	-4,181	1,805	3,625	-5,482	1,649	12,530	-14,174	19,608	4,902
Timor-Leste											
Togo	-219	-114	68	29	-137	-141	-124	0	-765	97	32
Tonga				-1	-31	-15	-18	-3	-57	0	0
Trinidad & Tobago	850	1,389	660	-1,333	874	1,337	6,574	2,692	3,868	18,245	2,281
Tunisia	-380	917	2,476	2,327	224	-2,150	1,301	1,717	-447	8,962	1,494
Turkey	4,862	5,500	11,964	5,628	169	-22,488	19,124	15,913	2,942	66,103	8,263
Turkmenistan											
Uganda	-244	-117	200	513	257	-86	-3,358	-138	533	1,503	376
Ukraine	-86	8,899	4,011	4,534	12,589	2,751	18,710	18,680	14,939	85,113	10,639
United Arab Emirates	10,206	6,343	4,351	14,561	27,041	46,680	70,993	18,793	72,961	271,930	30,214
Uruguay	-5	327	3,809	-254	632	-422	161	1,395	-1,692	6,325	1,265
Uzbekistan											
Vanuatu	35	18	20	1	5	-69	-19	1	39	119	17
Venezuela, Rep. Bol.	11,873	4,300	9,329	8,527	14,839	27,219	18,390	26,504	31,409	152,391	16,932
Vietnam	-8,070	1,475	1,119	68	1,902	317	-1,128	-6,884	351	5,232	872
Yemen, Republic of	-1,204	73	234	-82	-73	-502	130	-214	-92	437	146
Zambia	-852	-134	72	-108	540	-2,403	-2,618	756	94	1,462	365
Zimbabwe											
Yearly Totals of outflows only	167,515	198,657	188,454	310,116	316,614	356,485	566,746	733,025	805,267	3,642,879	404,764

... Data not available

Note: Negative (inflow) years are shown in the table for the purpose of providing more information to the reader. However, the negative inflow years are NOT used in any of the non-normalized calcuations. The yearly totals are summations of the outflow (positive number) years only, and for non-normalized estimates, the averages are of positive year figures only (i.e., not including zero outflow years).

Since publication of the 2008 IFF Report, the IMF has classified Hungary as a developed country, and hence it was excluded from this study.

Serbia and Montenegro seperated into two independent countries; they began reporting official data in 2007. We estimated illicit outflows from Serbia and Montenegro as a whole for 2000-2006 and as separate countries for 2007 and 2008.

Table 6. GER (Gross Excluding Reversals-Trade Mispricing) Non-Normalized(drops inflows (negative numbers) as well as missing data to zero)(millions of U.S. dollars)

Country	2000	2001	2002	2002	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives)	Average of outflow
Afrekonisten LD of	2000	2001	2002	2005	2004	2005	2000	2007	2000	oniy	
Alyiidiiistdii, i.n. ui	105	14	1	1	10	70	101	207	107	707	/
Algorio	105	1642	409	4	75.4	79	501	307	107	2 4 2 0	600
Algeria	110	1,043	490	24	170	0	521	0	0	3,439	000
Allyula	110	1	I		170	0	0	0	00	307	41
Anugua & Darbuua								1.005			
Argentina	1,034	831	597	0	1,172	700	0	1,235	8,605	14,241	2,034
Amilenia	1 750	1.055	109	1 004	0.100	2 5 4 9	204	2 014	1,207	02,209	0.570
Arupa Azerbaijan Bon of	1,752	1,200	803	1,224	2,100	3,546	0.174	0.017	4,804	10 667	2,376
Azerbaijali, nep. ul	57	64	75	07	120	120	2,174	0,317	240	1 1 4 7	3,000
Ballallias, Tile	57	04	75	0/	130	132	160	202	240	1,147	127
Dainain, Kinyuuni u	0	670	201	0	001	450	0	0	604	4.006	714
Daliyiduesii	150	079	204	900	921	402		0	024	4,990	017
Balarua	100	20 5 105	294	323	10 5 9 7	1 660	0.254	4	5 220	1,900	5 266
Polizo	110	5,195	1	0	10,367	1,000	9,304	0	5,229	1/0	5,500
Dell2e Ronin	50	20	1	0	ے 5 ۸	20	1	0	0	140	50
Phyton		0	0	0	54		0	0	0	151	
Bolivia									7	1.062	
Boonia & Horzogovina	041	0		222	30	16	21/	410	/	1,002	1/1
Botewana	0	0	I	2	30	10	314	410	499	1,272	141
Brozil				1.070	1 /72	1 800		5 420	3 450	12 021	2.646
Diazii Prunci Doruccolom	025	0	0	1,070	1,473	1,009	0	5,430	3,430	10,201	2,040
Bulgaria	200	522	440	670	/127	5/9	4	1 1 2 6	471	4 4 2 9 1	553
Burkina Faco	134	302	22	45	50	67	Q1	101	104	571	63
Burundi	13	0	55	40	0	30	110	27	124 97	225	32
Cambodia	221	253	280	327	403	387	101	0	0	2 3 7 5	207
Cameroon	518	334	112	280	00+00	007		0	0	1 244	207
Cane Verde	8	6	16	13	18	21	26	30	35	172	19
Central African Ren	26	29	32	40	54	59	73	90	102	504	56
Chad	0	0	0	0	0	0	,0	0	102	1	0
Chile	1 022	1 102	1 042	1 098	1 378	1 160	0	0	0	6 801	1 134
China.P.R.: Mainland	128,175	137,220	153.846	183,266	251,472	277.048	288.546	324,750	344,313	2.088.636	232.071
Colombia	133	1.313	916	1,496	1.701	1.327	0	0	2.698	9,584	1.369
Comoros	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	5	8	29	3
Congo, Dem. Rep. of	3	3	4	4	4	8	0	7	6	39	5
Congo, Republic of	844	1.161	0	918	2.982	34	39	42	61	6.082	760
Costa Rica	1.915	1.914	2,368	3.422	4.683	5.747	5.962	6.133	7.091	39.235	4.359
Côte D'Ivoire	,	81	0	608	948	1.515	950	573	412	5.089	727
Croatia	477	466	357	592	308	143	0	0	0	2.344	391
Cyprus	381	343	344	543	546	394	2.284	2.605	2.028	9.467	1.052
Czech Republic	759	1.569	1.681	2,751	1,743	0	0	0	0	8,502	1,700
Djibouti	23	25	26	32	39	42	50	60	87	384	43

										Total of outflow (positives)	Average of outflow
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	only	years only
Dominica Dominica	33	1/	1	1	0	2	2	2	2	62	8
Dominican Republic	911	1,091	527	337	0	0	736	386	1,258	5,245	749
Ecuador	869	970	304	29	865	1,293	631	481	4,/1/	10,158	1,129
Egypt	1,322	1,311	1,492	1,216	3,072	2,576	4,351	4,296	3,185	22,821	2,536
El Salvador	150	133	512	550	729	587	370	932	901	4,864	540
Equatorial Guinea	5	0	0	4	17	0	1	5	0	31	4
Eritrea											
Estonia	549	0	0	0	610	0	0	0	0	1,159	580
Ethiopia	63	679	324	100	56	794	1,144	1,333	1,684	6,176	686
Fiji	7	8	8	11	14	16	20	24	55	162	18
Gabon	18	22	24	29	36	41	47	56	62	333	37
Gambia, The	2	2	2	3	3	3	5	6	5	31	3
Georgia	208	248	248	380	450	402	957	379	834	4,107	456
Ghana	25	26	28	36	79	53	67	84	43	443	49
Grenada	34	18	20	23	26	28	30	33	36	248	28
Guatemala	1,740	1,999	1,332	1,301	1,419	1,548	794	991	1,064	12,189	1,354
Guinea	250	301	3	161	452	529	772	1,019	1,260	4,746	527
Guinea-Bissau	8	8	9	11	16	17	21	26	4	121	13
Guyana	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Haiti	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	7	14	4
Honduras	2,326	2,524	2,679	2,723	2,921	2,992	3,025	3,050	3,215	25,454	2,828
India	2,008	11,885	8,091	9,424	22,659	30,606	10,660	4,375	21,452	121,160	13,462
Indonesia	0	512	1,113	11,609	14,546	11,106	12,613	13,858	16,451	81,807	10,226
Iran, I.R. of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	532	532	532
Iraq	0	6	0	0	4	0	104	0	56	170	34
Israel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jamaica	387	235	297	428	413	817	205	160	580	3,521	391
Jordan	332	0	0	0	161	0	0	0	121	614	205
Kazakhstan	0	421	1,014	166	0	52	60	66	91	1,869	267
Kenya	28	78	0	37	138	72	90	110	355	907	113
Kiribati											
Kuwait	183	132	125	140	149	193	231	273	441	1,866	207
Kyrgyz Republic	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	17	17
Lao People's Dem.Rep	10	11	12	15	18	22	27	34	32	181	20
Latvia	1,040	837	649	761	1,193	771	767	571	4	6,593	733
Lebanon	491	112	96	123	161	184	226	280	324	1,998	222
Lesotho											
Liberia	72	1	1	2	6	3	3	4	0	91	11
Libya	73	27	18	42	17	47	52	60	99	434	48
Lithuania	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,541	2,541	2,541
Macedonia, FYR	0	0	167	247	381	474	287	225	257	2,038	291
Madagascar	21	24	128	66	796	459	1,643	124	684	3,945	438

Cont. on next page

Table 6. (cont.)

										Total of outflow (positives)	Average of outflow
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	only	years only
Malawi	2	0	0	1	2	7	2	0	8	22	2
Malaysia	10,983	11,225	12,152	17,725	19,582	21,601	21,945	27,285	29,085	171,583	19,065
Maldives	835	102	148	103	68	36	6	8	8	1,313	146
Mali	398	430	458	512	576	624	694	776	839	5,307	590
Malta	0	0	133	296	389	472	725	1,045	2,403	5,463	780
Marshall Islands											
Mauritania	52	57	62	79	105	118	145	181	207	1,007	112
Mauritius	6	16	1	111	38	0	0	0	161	333	55
Mexico	34,400	32,839	34,798	34,008	36,425	44,246	48,370	58,868	61,540	385,494	42,833
Micronesia											
Moldova	293	209	108	226	337	238	189	445	474	2,518	280
Mongolia	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	27
Montenegro	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	13	27	9
Morocco	133	361	157	306	706	3,427	0	141	36	5,266	658
Mozambique	0	4	192	69	0	0	330	129	0	724	145
Myanmar	3	4	4	7	8	13	8	0	21	69	9
Namibia											
Nepal	567	255	457	356	422	499	682	301	507	4,046	450
Nicaragua	378	441	443	525	649	944	1,119	1,170	1,299	6,968	774
Niger	144	49	0	0	88	1	1	1	1	284	41
Nigeria	0	2,916	0	0	2,658	3,373	4,166	5,392	6,899	25,404	4,234
Oman	38	0	143	332	70	0	2,471	153	0	3,207	534
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	855	1,141	1,683	3,679	1,226
Palau											
Panama	1,582	1,722	2,216	2,377	2,723	3,555	4,553	5,028	5,712	29,468	3,274
Papua New Guinea	13	13	15	19	22	28	34	43	53	239	27
Paraguay	132	169	308	159	0	24	1,213	640	1,663	4,307	538
Peru	718	1,140	705	940	845	1,204	926	778	1,495	8,752	972
Philippines	5,666	6,543	7,091	10,288	11,966	15,665	15,801	19,842	16,416	109,278	12,142
Poland	0	0	320	104	625	0	0	0	0	1,050	350
Qatar	32	380	0	0	0	0	133	39	4,696	5,279	1,056
Romania	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	2,414	0	2,427	1,214
Russia	0	19,358	0	2,485	14,518	0	0	0	0	36,362	12,121
Rwanda	51	58	63	80	104	119	158	183	91	906	101
Samoa	2	2	0	2	2	325	3	4	3	343	43
São Tomé & Príncipe	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	1,469	544	593	1,561	4,166	1,042
Senegal	0	11	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	23	12
Serbia	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,949	4,153	3,471	11,573	2,893
Serbia & Montenegro	194	185	217	263	348	399				1,607	268
Seychelles	44	82	210	149	55	63	77	95	122	896	100
Sierra Leone	12	13	14	18	22	26	32	40	52	229	25
Slovak Republic	722	1,073	998	278	344	0	0	0	0	3,415	683

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives) only	Average of outflow years only
Slovenia	0	0	0	0	43	0	0	0	343	386	193
Solomon Islands	16	17	19	21	23	26	29	32	57	240	27
Somalia	33	36	39	49	65	73	90	112	150	646	72
South Africa	0	72	962	0	3,052	4,443	9,246	18,222	18,904	54,900	7,843
Sri Lanka	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	694	694	694
St. Kitts	25	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	7	63	7
St. Lucia	39	3	3	3	4	4	5	6	27	94	10
St. Vincent & Grens.	105	142	170	153	281	351	0	0	0	1,203	150
Sudan	0	0	0	0	0	139	72	1,109	431	1,752	438
Suriname	1	0	0	26	1	0	0	0	0	27	3
Swaziland											
Syrian Arab Republic	940	1,035	1,118	1,409	12,761	2	5	6	45	17,322	1,925
Tajikistan	85	106	168	117	150	43	0	0	0	669	112
Tanzania	0	0	0	110	135	81	0	248	440	1,014	203
Thailand	0	1,877	1,482	2,048	3,493	8,356	6,108	5,395	17,505	46,264	5,783
Timor-Leste											
Togo	9	153	76	236	78	193	131	198	445	1,519	169
Tonga	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	8	1
Trinidad & Tobago	825	62	1,052	5	6	8	11	13	125	2,107	234
Tunisia	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	156	191	349	116
Turkey	0	661	1,821	2,098	14	1,623	808	3,342	3,490	13,858	1,732
Turkmenistan	507	691	685	600	8	8	8	8	8	2,522	280
Uganda	60	19	6	140	238	361	456	675	1,122	3,077	342
Ukraine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	946	946	946
United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	560	902	1,168	1,386	4,304	8,319	1,664
Uruguay	193	192	0	357	350	206	17	21	31	1,366	152
Uzbekistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Vanuatu	3	4	2	3	3	4	5	6	5	35	4
Venezuela, Rep. Bol.	2,370	2,336	455	0	2,052	425	0	0	0	7,638	1,528
Vietnam	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	70
Yemen, Republic of	0	74	0	32	0	1,068	23	36	104	1,338	223
Zambia	0	102	0	386	583	1,269	466	673	44	3,523	503
Zimbabwe	279	344	657	0	300	326	1,752	213	251	4,123	515
Yearly Totals of outflows only	216,298	267,958	253,906	309,866	454,286	472,092	484,710	551,894	634,900	3,645,909	405,101

... Data not available

Note: The yearly totals are summations of the outflow (positive number) years only, and for non-normalized estimates, the averages are of positive year figures only (i.e., not including zero outflow years).

Since publication of the 2008 IFF Report, the IMF has classified Hungary as a developed country, and hence it was excluded from this study.

Serbia and Montenegro seperated into two independent countries; they began reporting official data in 2007. We estimated illicit outflows from Serbia and Montenegro as a whole for 2000-2006 and as separate countries for 2007 and 2008.

Table 7. Cumulative Normalized and Non-Normalized IllicitFinancial Flows by Country 2000-2008 (millions of U.S. dollars)

Country	Cumulative Normalized (conservative) IFFs 2000-2008	Cumulative Non- Normalized (high-end) IFFs 2000-2008	Country	Cumulative Normalized (conservative) IFFs 2000-2008	Cumulative Non- Normalized (high-end) IFFs 2000-2008
Afghanistan, I.R. Of	3	61	Djibouti	1,034	1,034
Albania	592	972	Dominica	51	114
Algeria	4,642	13,603	Dominican Republic	13,651	13,651
Angola	18,271	24,162	Ecuador	13,909	17,215
Antigua & Barbuda	145	154	Egypt	57,209	57,209
Argentina	90,170	97,315	El Salvador	9,243	9,649
Armenia	5,011	5,011	Equatorial Guinea	3,807	4,132
Aruba	24,524	24,524	Eritrea	0	32
Azerbaijan, Rep. Of	20,684	31,431	Estonia	15,028	16,711
Bahamas, The	1,091	1,147	Ethiopia	6,120	8,282
Bahrain, Kingdom Of	9,681	9,824	Fiji	0	258
Bangladesh	14,231	15,699	Gabon	5,249	5,979
Barbados	1,924	2,358	Gambia, The	385	385
Belarus	32,024	34,725	Georgia	4,107	4,267
Belize	389	411	Ghana	0	866
Benin	0	271	Grenada	248	289
Bhutan	0	0	Guatemala	12,189	16,651
Bolivia	5,313	5,438	Guinea	4,743	5,240
Bosnia & Herzegovina	1,272	2,486	Guinea-Bissau	117	263
Botswana	3,021	3,472	Guyana	0	69
Brazil	23,525	51,216	Haiti	0	271
Brunei Darussalam	31,390	31,390	Honduras	25,454	25,799
Bulgaria	19,583	24,738	Hungary	0	0
Burkina Faso	571	571	India	104,117	128,505
Burundi	223	421	Indonesia	104,471	134,333
Cambodia	2,366	3,130	Iran, I.R. Of	47,508	55,132
Cameroon	0	2,430	Iraq	114	170
Cape Verde	172	242	Israel	15,197	18,069
Central African Rep.	504	504	Jamaica	6,355	6,515
Chad	0	348	Jordan	3,425	4,039
Chile	69,976	82,731	Kazakhstan	126,007	126,862
China,P.R.: Mainland	2,175,995	2,401,556	Kenya	0	1,955
Colombia	18,683	27,760	Kiribati	0	0
Comoros	70	76	Kuwait	242,164	244,030
Congo, Dem. Rep. Of	6,657	6,956	Kyrgyz Republic	0	342
Congo, Republic Of	11,941	12,183	Lao People's Dem.Rep	3,001	3,233
Costa Rica	39,235	40,231	Latvia	25,886	26,461
Côte D'Ivoire	9,856	10,923	Lebanon	11,096	12,490
Croatia	19,288	21,630	Lesotho	628	628
Cyprus	18,248	18,248	Liberia	1,465	1,481
Czech Republic	66,011	66,011	Libya	0	434

Country	Cumulative Normalized (conservative) IFFs 2000-2008	Cumulative Non- Normalized (high-end) IFFs 2000-2008	Country	Cumulative Normalized (conservative) IFFs 2000-2008	Cumulative Non- Normalized (high-end) IFFs 2000-2008
Lithuania	11,239	14,319	Serbia	0	14,008
Macedonia, Fyr	3,657	4,276	Serbia & Montenegro	1,607	4,158
Madagascar	3,710	4,037	Seychelles	1,785	1,800
Malawi	0	24	Sierra Leone	0	424
Malaysia	291,319	303,923	Slovak Republic	12,256	26,990
Maldives	1,291	1,325	Slovenia	40,450	40,836
Mali	5,307	5,364	Solomon Islands	240	267
Malta	5,331	5,463	Somalia	0	646
Marshall Islands	0	0	South Africa	54,828	71,499
Mauritania	1,007	1,576	Sri Lanka	0	2,603
Mauritius	0	540	St. Kitts	143	180
Mexico	416,152	462,403	St. Lucia	85	305
Micronesia	0	0	St. Vincent & Grens.	0	1,204
Moldova	3,373	3,608	Sudan	3,993	6,087
Mongolia	1,047	1,110	Suriname	0	114
Montenegro	0	80	Swaziland	707	908
Morocco	3,427	13,314	Syrian Arab Republic	30,348	37,936
Mozambique	522	1,093	Tajikistan	2,003	2,046
Myanmar	2,853	3,205	Tanzania	0	2,622
Namibia	6,310	6,488	Thailand	46,264	65,872
Nepal	5,063	5,090	Timor-Leste	0	0
Nicaragua	6,968	6,968	Togo	1,519	1,616
Niger	281	450	Tonga	0	8
Nigeria	130,398	152,886	Trinidad & Tobago	20,122	20,352
Oman	18,992	22,586	Tunisia	8,738	9,311
Pakistan	0	15,193	Turkey	76,850	79,961
Palau	0	0	Turkmenistan	2,483	2,522
Panama	35,460	35,474	Uganda	0	4,580
Papua New Guinea	800	1,353	Ukraine	82,363	86,059
Paraguay	4,284	5,238	United Arab Emirates	275,898	280,248
Peru	2,798	14,626	Uruguay	7,529	7,691
Philippines	109,278	130,067	Uzbekistan	0	1
Poland	106,486	118,207	Vanuatu	118	154
Qatar	137,678	142,545	Venezuela, Rep. Bol.	157,096	160,028
Romania	33,100	37,885	Vietnam	0	5,302
Russia	427,301	478,269	Yemen, Republic Of	1,068	1,774
Kwanda Samaa	906	932	Zampia	3,377	4,985
Sámua	343	348		4,123	4,123
Sau Iome & Principe		3	Countries	6,525,444	7,288,788
Saudi Arabia	301,510	309,799			
Senegal	0	98			

Table 8. CED (Change in External Debt- Balance of Payments) Normalized
(millions of U.S. dollars)

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives)	Average of all Years (outflow and zeros)
Afghanistan LB Of	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2003	2000	2007	2000	Ully	26103)
Albania											
Algeria	0	0	1 9/3	2 600	0	0	0	0	0	1 642	516
Angola	0	0	2 155	2,000	1 087	4 257	0	7 /17	0	18 271	2 030
Angola Antiqua & Barbuda	15	47	2,100	2,400	1,307	4,237	77	7,417	0	145	2,000
Argentina	10	17 98/	12 366	20.898	3 479	0	0	11 057	15 781	81 565	9.063
Argentina	0	225	182	155	306	0	129	459	296	1 752	195
Aruha	375	0	52	0	283	82	532	0	0	1 324	147
Aruba Azerbaijan Ben Of	0/5	0	505	496	200	580	1 656	3 2/17	1/ 101	20.684	2 208
Rahamas The	0	0	0	490	0	509	1,030	0,247	14,191	20,004	2,290
Bahrain Kingdom Of	084	0	0	0	0	1 003	3 300	1 570	2 725	0.691	1 076
Bandladesh	904	0	2 170	1 2/1	873	1,095	2 457	1,379	2,123	10 703	1 180
Barbados	0	0	2,179	1,241	0/3	0	2,437	1,400	2,492	10,703	1,109
Belarus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Belize	29	0	0	43	0	32	49	103	0	256	28
Benin	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Bhutan			0		0			0	0		0
Bolivia			938	 914	663	604			694	4 377	486
Bosnia & Herzegovina	0000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Botswana	0	0	571	528	768	499	655	0	0	3 021	336
Brazil	5 978	0	8 056	9 4 9 0	0	0	000	0	0	23 525	2 614
Brunei Darussalam	0,010	2.019	1,945	2,585	2,925	4.271	5.271	4.944	7,133	31.093	3.887
Bulgaria	0	_,0.0	953	1,991	1,676	0	5.085	9.345	0	19.051	2,117
Burkina Faso	0	0								0	0
Burundi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cambodia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cameroon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cape Verde	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Central African Rep.											
Chad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chile	2,126	3,430	4,029	3,880	8,860	6,211	12,318	29,122	0	69,976	7,775
China,P.R.: Mainland	40,955	46,404	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	87,359	9,707
Colombia	1,570	2,555	0	3,794	0	0	3,146	3,107	0	14,173	1,575
Comoros	2	5	19	11	10	0	0	0	0	47	5
Congo, Dem. Rep. Of	348	366	0	2,340	678	0	1,240	1,686	0	6,657	740
Congo, Republic Of	488	0	1,032	1,202	1,483	0	1,829	0	0	6,035	671
Costa Rica	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Côte D'Ivoire	0	0	591	1,696	1,298	0	1,335	915	0	5,834	648
Croatia	1,018	1,029	1,127	0	2,913	0	0	4,301	8,423	18,811	2,090
Cyprus	0	385	1,337	2,085	2,492	1,427	1,054	0	0	8,781	976
Czech Republic	304	797	1,964	3,535	8,811	6,848	11,122	21,109	3,019	57,508	6,390
Djibouti	0	17	94	89	77	37	106	217	12	650	72

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives) only	Average of all Years (outflow and zeros)
Dominica	2000	2001	0	2000	0	2003	2000	0	0		20103)
Dominican Republic	0	414	1 764	2 392	2 062	0	1 334	440	0	8 406	934
Foundar	0	747	1,704	2,032	2,002	0	2 027	440	0	5 105	577
Ecuauoi	0	141	1,079	143	6 057	0	2,027	0 229	4 101	24.290	2 901
Eyypi	U	1 1 0 1	1,000	4,300	0,257	0	0,010	9,320	4,191	34,309	5,021
El Salvauor	5/1	1,131	039	1,362	0	300	304	1 707	0	4,002	210
Equatorial duillea	0	412	0	0	0	0	1,596	1,797	0	3,007	423
Entrea	0				1 00 4		1 455	 5 001	1 017	15.009	1 670
Estonia	0	0	0	1,738	1,994	2,994	1,455	5,031	1,817	15,028	1,670
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fiji	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gabon	678	0	375	1,159	1,370	1,667	0	0	0	5,249	583
Gambia, The	11	60	91	/3	32	0	55	32	0	354	39
Georgia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ghana	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grenada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guatemala	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guinea	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guinea-Bissau		0	0	0	0					0	0
Guyana	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Haiti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Honduras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
India	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indonesia	0	0	0	8,908	0	15,380	0	0	0	24,288	2,699
Iran, I.R. Of	9,805	3,309	2,649	6,991	0	0	0	11,871	12,884	47,508	5,279
Iraq											
Israel	0	0	7,686	0	0	0	7,511	0	0	15,197	1,689
Jamaica	325	0	0	433	178	0	775	1,284	0	2,994	333
Jordan	0	663	393	802	605	0	962	0	0	3,425	381
Kazakhstan	7,819	3,541	3,698	5,193	11,820	13,669	24,342	24,789	30,122	124,993	13,888
Kenya	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kiribati											
Kuwait	12,847	8,406	6,183	16,148	15,530	29,291	44,312	55,988	53,459	242,164	26,907
Kyrgyz Republic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lao People's Dem.Rep	0	0	501	0	109	0	674	1,247	471	3,001	333
Latvia	831	0	1,139	1,259	2,274	0	3,279	11,088	0	19,868	2,208
Lebanon	1,624	1,901	775	0	1,974	0	2,208	2,012	0	10,492	1,166
Lesotho	0	0	121	92	105	0	0	111	199	628	70
Liberia	305	193	320	325	137	0	110	0	0	1,390	154
Libya											
Lithuania	0	0	0	0	1,918	0	3,961	5,360	0	11,239	1,249
Macedonia, Fyr	0	151	0	0	790	0	334	828	0	2,101	233
Madagascar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Cont. on next page

Table 8. (cont.)

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives) only	Average of all Years (outflow and zeros)
Malawi	0	0	0							0	0
Malaysia	11,229	9,789	0	0	0	17,179	22,434	19,953	39,153	119,736	13,304
Maldives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mali	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Malta											
Marshall Islands											
Mauritania	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mauritius	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30,658	0	30,658	3,406
Micronesia											
Moldova	654	0	201	0	0	0	0	0	0	855	95
Mongolia	0	0	0	325	283	171	269			1,047	150
Montenegro								0	0	0	0
Morocco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mozambique	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Myanmar	0	0	1,116	893	0	0	845			2,853	408
Namibia	359	349	276	1,369	1,330	0	1,715	912	0	6,310	701
Nepal	0	0	532	149	116	0	0	220	0	1,017	113
Nicaragua	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Niger	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nigeria	6,336	2,846	5,135	9,751	12,333	15,164	10,409	28,497	37,012	127,482	14,165
Uman Bakiston	0	0	1,741	0	0	3,673	4,867	0	6,241	16,522	1,830
Palau	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Panama		875		 874	1 157		3.086			5 992	
Panua New Guinea	230	0/0	0	0/4	0	570	0,000	0	0	800	89
Paraguay	0	0	0	0	0	0,0	0	0	0	0	0
Peru	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Philippines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Poland	0	0	8,608	14,776	12,363	0	29,706	41,033	0	106,486	11,832
Qatar		4,923	4,108	4,537	9,771	15,382	23,255	27,672	47,618	137,266	17,158
Romania	0	0	1,852	3,291	0	0	6,829	10,487	10,641	33,100	3,678
Russia	15,607	18,443	12,546	35,579	37,046	56,387	0	55,327	196,367	427,301	47,478
Rwanda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Samoa					0	0	0	0		0	0
São Tomé & Príncipe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saudi Arabia	9,071	8,182	0	34,905	50,744	47,390	52,314	59,027	39,877	301,510	33,501
Senegal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Serbia							0	0	0	0	0
Serbia & Montenegro	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0
Seychelles	0	0	0	83	0	106	365	334	0	889	99
Sierra Leone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Slovak Republic	0	0	0	3,425	3,349	0	4,410	0	0	11,183	1,243

										Total of outflow (positives)	Average of all Years (outflow and
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	only	zeros)
Slovenia Oslaman Islanda	0	0	1,411	3,566	3,920	4,877	5,002	15,135	6,539	40,450	4,494
Solomon Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somalia South Africa											
South Africa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sri Lanka	U E 4	0	0	14	0	10	0	0	0	110	10
St. Kitts	54	31	0	14	0	13	6	0	0	118	13
St. Lucia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. vincent & Grens.	0	0	0	1 09 4	1 000	0	0	0	1 697	0	0
Sudan	0	0	221	1,084	1,002	0	0	0	1,687	3,993	444
Suriname	0	0	250	154	0	0	0	202	0	707	70
Swaziidiiu	0	0	200	12 007	0	0	0	303	0	12 007	1 4 4 7
Syllali Alab nepublic	0	0	104	13,027	50	24	0	10	007	1 277	1,447
Tanzania	0	0	104	0	0		201	12	907	1,377	100
Theiland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Timor-Locto	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tono											
Tonga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinidad & Tobago	850	1 380	660	0	874	1 337	6 574	2 602	3 868	18 2/15	2 027
Tunisia	000	917	2 476	2 327	0,4	1,007	1,301	1 717	0,000	8 738	971
Turkey	4 862	5 500	11 964	5 628	0	0	19 124	15 913	0	62 992	6 999
Turkmenistan	4,002	0,000	11,004	0,020	0	0	10,124	10,010	0	02,002	0,000
Uganda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ukraine	0	8.899	4.011	4.534	12.589	0	18.710	18.680	14.939	82.363	9.151
United Arab Emirates	10,206	6,343	0	14,561	27,041	46,680	70,993	18,793	72,961	267,579	29,731
Uruguay	0	327	3,809	0	632	0	0	1,395	0	6,163	685
Uzbekistan											
Vanuatu	35	18	20	0	5	0	0	0	39	118	13
Venezuela, Rep. Bol.	11,873	4,300	9,329	8,527	14,839	27,219	18,390	26,504	31,409	152,391	16,932
Vietnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen, Republic Of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zambia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zimbabwe											
Yearly Totals of outflows only	160,939	169,321	142,112	277,655	276,189	325,489	456,161	606,536	667,165	3,081,567	344,858

... Data not available

Note: Zeros indicate years that dropped through normalization or were inflow (negative) years. For list of Countries dropped through normalization see Appendix Table 10, and to see inflow amounts see Appendix Table 5. The yearly totals are summations of the outflow (positive number) years only, and for normalized estimates, the averages are a simple average over all years (i.e., including zero outflow years).

Since publication of the 2008 IFF Report, the IMF has classified Hungary as a developed country, and hence it was excluded from this study.

Serbia and Montenegro seperated into two independent countries; they began reporting official data in 2007. We estimated illicit outflows from Serbia and Montenegro as a whole for 2000-2006 and as separate countries for 2007 and 2008.

Table 9. GER (Gross Excluding Reversals-Trade Mispricing) Normalized (millions of U.S. dollars)

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives) only	Average of all Years (outflow and zeros)
Afghanistan, I.R. of	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Albania	105	0	0	0	0	79	101	307	0	592	66
Algeria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Angola	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Antigua & Barbuda											
Argentina	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,605	8,605	956
Armenia	299	269	169	247	105	316	204	443	1,207	3,259	362
Aruba	1,752	1,255	803	1,224	2,188	3,548	3,712	3,914	4,804	23,200	2,578
Azerbaijan, Rep. of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bahamas, The	0	64	75	87	130	132	160	202	240	1,091	121
Bahrain, Kingdom of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bangladesh	969	679	0	960	921	0	0	0	0	3,529	392
Barbados	153	0	294	323	567	533	54	0	0	1,924	214
Belarus	0	5,195	0	0	10,587	1,660	9,354	0	5,229	32,024	3,558
Belize	113	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	133	15
Benin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bhutan											
Bolivia	341	161	212	222	0	0	0	0	0	936	104
Bosnia & Herzegovina	0	0	1	2	30	16	314	410	499	1,272	141
Botswana											
Brazil	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brunei Darussalam	235	4	3	0	11	3	4	5	32	297	33
Bulgaria	0	532	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	532	59
Burkina Faso	30	32	33	45	59	67	81	101	124	571	63
Burundi	13	0	6	0	0	30	119	27	27	223	25
Cambodia	221	253	280	327	403	387	494	0	0	2,366	263
Cameroon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cape Verde	8	6	16	13	18	21	26	30	35	172	19
Central African Rep.	26	29	32	40	54	59	73	90	102	504	56
Chad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chile	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
China,P.R.: Mainland	128,175	137,220	153,846	183,266	251,472	277,048	288,546	324,750	344,313	2,088,636	232,071
Colombia	0	1,313	0	1,496	1,701	0	0	0	0	4,510	501
Comoros	1	0	0	0	3	3	4	5	8	24	3
Congo, Dem. Rep. of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Congo, Republic of	844	1,161	0	918	2,982	0	0	0	0	5,905	656
Costa Rica	1,915	1,914	2,368	3,422	4,683	5,747	5,962	6,133	7,091	39,235	4,359
Creatia	U 477	0	U	608	948	1,515	950	0	0	4,022	447
Groatia	4//	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4//	53
Cyprus	381	343	344	543	546	394	2,284	2,605	2,028	9,467	1,052
Gzech Kepublic	/59	1,569	1,681	2,751	1,743	0	0	0	0	8,502	945
Djibouti	23	25	26	32	39	42	50	60	87	384	43

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives)	Average of all Years (outflow and zeros)
Dominica	2000	17	2002	2003	2004	2003	2000	2007	2000	51	20103)
Dominica Dominican Popublia	011	1 001	507	227	0	0	726	296	1 250	5.045	592
	911	1,091	527	337	005	1 000	730	300	1,200	0,240	000
Ecuauor	1 200	970	1 400	1 016	2 070	1,293	4.051	4 006	4,717	0,7 14	900
Egypt	1,322	1,311	1,492	1,216	3,072	2,576	4,351	4,296	3,185	22,821	2,536
El Salvador	0	0	512	550	729	587	370	932	901	4,580	509
Equatorial Guinea	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eritrea											
Estonia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ethiopia	63	679	324	100	0	794	1,144	1,333	1,684	6,120	680
Fiji	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gabon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gambia, The	2	2	2	3	3	3	5	6	5	31	3
Georgia	208	248	248	380	450	402	957	379	834	4,107	456
Ghana	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grenada	34	18	20	23	26	28	30	33	36	248	28
Guatemala	1,740	1,999	1,332	1,301	1,419	1,548	794	991	1,064	12,189	1,354
Guinea	250	301	0	161	452	529	772	1,019	1,260	4,743	527
Guinea-Bissau	8	8	9	11	16	17	21	26	0	117	13
Guyana	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Haiti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Honduras	2,326	2,524	2,679	2,723	2,921	2,992	3,025	3,050	3,215	25,454	2,828
India	0	11,885	8,091	9,424	22,659	30,606	0	0	21,452	104,117	11,569
Indonesia	0	0	0	11,609	14,546	11,106	12,613	13,858	16,451	80,183	8,909
Iran, I.R. of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	0	6	0	0	4	0	104	0	0	114	13
Israel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jamaica	387	235	297	428	413	817	205	0	580	3,361	373
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kazakhstan	0	0	1,014	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,014	113
Kenya	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kiribati											
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kyrgyz Republic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lao People's Dem.Rep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Latvia	1,040	837	649	761	1,193	771	767	0	0	6,018	669
Lebanon	491	112	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	603	67
Lesotho											
Liberia	72	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	75	8
Libya	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lithuania	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Macedonia, FYR	0	0	167	247	381	474	287	0	0	1,555	173
Madagascar	0	0	128	0	796	459	1,643	0	684	3,710	412

Cont. on next page

Table 9. (cont.)

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives) only	Average of all Years (outflow and zeros)
Malawi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Malaysia	10,983	11,225	12,152	17,725	19,582	21,601	21,945	27,285	29,085	171,583	19,065
Maldives	835	102	148	103	68	36	0	0	0	1,291	143
Mali	398	430	458	512	576	624	694	776	839	5,307	590
Malta	0	0	0	296	389	472	725	1,045	2,403	5,331	592
Marshall Islands											
Mauritania	52	57	62	79	105	118	145	181	207	1,007	112
Mauritius	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mexico	34,400	32,839	34,798	34,008	36,425	44,246	48,370	58,868	61,540	385,494	42,833
Micronesia											
Moldova	293	209	108	226	337	238	189	445	474	2,518	280
Mongolia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montenegro	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Morocco	0	0	0	0	0	3,427	0	0	0	3,427	381
Mozambique	0	0	192	0	0	0	330	0	0	522	58
Myanmar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Namibia											
Nepal	567	255	457	356	422	499	682	301	507	4,046	450
Nicaragua	378	441	443	525	649	944	1,119	1,170	1,299	6,968	774
Niger	144	49	0	0	88	0	0	0	0	281	31
Nigeria	0	2,916	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,916	324
Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,471	0	0	2,471	275
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Palau											
Panama	1,582	1,722	2,216	2,377	2,723	3,555	4,553	5,028	5,712	29,468	3,274
Papua New Guinea	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paraguay	132	169	308	159	0	0	1,213	640	1,663	4,284	476
Peru	718	1,140	0	940	0	0	0	0	0	2,798	311
Philippines	5,666	6,543	7,091	10,288	11,966	15,665	15,801	19,842	16,416	109,278	12,142
Poland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	32	380	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	412	46
Romania	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Russia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rwanda	51	58	63	80	104	119	158	183	91	906	101
Samoa	2	2	0	2	2	325	3	4	3	343	38
São Tomé & Príncipe	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Senegal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Serbia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Serbia & Montenegro	194	185	217	263	348	399				1,607	268
Seychelles	44	82	210	149	55	63	77	95	122	896	100
Sierra Leone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total of outflow (positives)	Average of all Years (outflow and zeros)
-----------------------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	------------------------------------	---
Slovak Benublic	2000	1 073	2002	2003	2004	2003	2000	2007	2008	1 073	119
Slovenia	0	1,070	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,070	0
Solomon Islands	16	17	19	21	23	26	29	32	57	240	27
Somalia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Africa	0	0	962	0	3.052	4.443	9.246	18.222	18.904	54.828	6.092
Sri Lanka	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Kitts	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	3
St. Lucia	39	0	0	0	4	4	5	6	27	85	9
St. Vincent & Grens.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sudan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suriname	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swaziland											
Syrian Arab Republic	940	1,035	1,118	1,409	12,761	2	5	6	45	17,322	1,925
Tajikistan	85	106	168	117	150	0	0	0	0	626	70
Tanzania	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thailand	0	1,877	1,482	2,048	3,493	8,356	6,108	5,395	17,505	46,264	5,140
Timor-Leste											
Togo	9	153	76	236	78	193	131	198	445	1,519	169
Tonga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinidad & Tobago	825	0	1,052	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,877	209
Tunisia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkey	0	661	1,821	2,098	14	1,623	808	3,342	3,490	13,858	1,540
Turkmenistan	507	691	685	600	0	0	0	0	0	2,483	276
Uganda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ukraine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	560	902	1,168	1,386	4,304	8,319	924
Uruguay	193	192	0	357	350	206	17	21	31	1,366	152
Uzbekistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vanuatu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Venezuela, Rep. Bol.	2,370	2,336	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,705	523
Vietnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen, Republic of	0	0	0	0	0	1,068	0	0	0	1,068	119
Zambia	0	0	0	386	583	1,269	466	673	0	3,377	375
Zimbabwe	279	344	657	0	300	326	1,752	213	251	4,123	458
Yearly Totals of outflows only	208,357	241,577	244,644	301,161	424,340	457,349	458,524	510,747	597,177	3,443,877	382,742

... Data not available

Note: The yearly totals are summations of the outflow (positive number) years only, and for normalized estimates, the averages are a simple average over all years (i.e., including zero outflow years).

Since publication of the 2008 IFF Report, the IMF has classified Hungary as a developed country, and hence it was excluded from this study.

Serbia and Montenegro seperated into two independent countries; they began reporting official data in 2007. We estimated illicit outflows from Serbia and Montenegro as a whole for 2000-2006 and as separate countries for 2007 and 2008.

Source: Staff estimates, Global Financial Integrity, based on official balance of payments and trade data reported to the IMF by member countries and external debt data reported to the World Bank by those countries.

	CED				GER				
Countries	Current Account	Reserves Assets	Net FDI	External Debt	DOTS			Country	Country CED
Afghanistan I.R. of	x	x	x	x				Afghanistan I.R. of	Afghanistan I.R. of ×
Antiqua & Barbuda					x			Antiqua & Barbuda	Antiqua & Barbuda
Bhutan	х	х	х		х	-		Bhutan	Bhutan x
Botswana					х			Botswana	Botswana
Burkina Faso	х	х	х			1		Burkina Faso	Burkina Faso x
Central African Rep.	x	x	x					Central African Rep.	Central African Rep. ×
Eritrea	х	х	х		х	1		Eritrea	Eritrea ×
Guinea Bissou	x	x	x			-		Guinea Bissou	Guinea Bissou ×
Iraq	х	х	х	x		1		Iraq	lraq ×
Kiribati	х	х	х	х	х	1		Kiribati	Kiribati ×
Lesotho					х			Lesotho	Lesotho
Libya				x		-		Libya	Libya ×
Malawi	х	х	х			-		Malawi	Malawi x
Malta				x				Malta	Malta x
Marshall Islands	x	x	x	x	x	-		Marshall Islands	Marshall Islands ×
Micronesia	х	х	x		х	-		Micronesia	Micronesia x
Mongolia	х	Х	х			-	F	Mongolia	Mongolia x
Myanmar	х	х	x			-	M	yanmar	yanmar x
Namibia					x	-	Nan	nibia	nibia
Palau	х	х	х	x	х		Palau		x
Samoa	х	х	х				Samoa		x
Somalia	х	х	х				Somalia		x
Swaziland					х		Swaziland		
Timor-Lest	х	х	х		х	-	Timor-Lest		x
Tonga	х	х	х				Tonga		x
Turkemistan	х	х	х				Turkemistan		x
Uzbekistan	х	х	х			1	Uzbekistan		x
Zimbabwe	х	х	х	х		1	Zimbabwe		х

Table 10. Countries for which estimation of illicit flowscould not be completed due to missing data

An X indicates missing data in each specified category for some or all years over the period 2000-2008.

Table 11. Countries Dropped to Zero Due to Normalization

Country	CED (Change in External Debt- Balance of Payments)	GER (Gross Excluding Reversals- Trade Mispricing)
Afghanistan, I.R. of	х	
Albania	х	
Algeria		x
Angola		x
Antigua & Barbuda		x
Azerbaijan, Rep. of		x
Bahamas, The	х	
Bahrain, Kingdom of		x
Barbados	х	
Belarus	х	
Benin	х	x
Bhutan	х	x
Bosnia & Herzegovina	x	
Botswana		x
Brazil		x
Burkina Faso	х	
Burundi	х	
Cambodia	х	
Cameroon	х	x
Cape Verde	х	
Central African Rep.	х	
Chad	х	x
Chile		x
Congo, Dem. Rep. of		x
Costa Rica	х	
Dominica	х	
Equatorial Guinea		x
Eritrea	х	x
Estonia		x
Ethiopia	х	
Fiji	x	x
Gabon		x
Georgia	x	
Ghana	х	x
Grenada	х	
Guatemala	x	
Guinea	х	
Guinea-Bissau	x	
Guyana	х	x
Haiti	х	x
Honduras	х	

Country	CED (Change in External Debt- Balance of Payments)	GER (Gross Excluding Reversals- Trade Mispricing)
India	x	
Iran, I.R. of		x
Iraq	х	
Israel		х
Jordan		х
Kenya	х	х
Kiribati	х	x
Kuwait		x
Kyrgyz Republic	Х	х
Lao People's Dem.Rep		х
Lesotho		х
Libya	Х	х
Lithuania		х
Madagascar	х	
Malawi	х	х
Maldives	х	
Mali	х	
Malta	х	
Marshall Islands	х	х
Mauritania	х	
Mauritius	х	х
Micronesia	х	х
Mongolia		х
Montenegro	х	х
Morocco	х	
Mozambique	х	
Myanmar		х
Namibia		x
Nicaragua	X	
Niger	Х	
Pakistan	Х	x
Palau	Х	x
Papua New Guinea		x
Paraguay	Х	
Peru	Х	
Philippines	Х	
Poland		x
Romania		x
Russia		x
Rwanda	X	
Samoa	х	

Country	CED (Change in External Debt- Balance of Payments)	GER (Gross Excluding Reversals- Trade Mispricing)
São Tomé & Príncipe	х	
Saudi Arabia		x
Senegal	x	x
Serbia	x	x
Serbia & Montenegro	x	
Sierra Leone	х	х
Slovenia		х
Solomon Islands	х	
Somalia	х	х
South Africa	х	
Sri Lanka	х	х
St. Lucia	х	
St. Vincent & Grens.	х	х
Sudan		х
Suriname	х	х
Swaziland		х
Tanzania	x	x
Thailand	х	
Timor-Leste	х	х
Тодо	х	
Tonga	х	х
Tunisia		х
Turkmenistan	х	
Uganda	x	x
Ukraine		х
Uzbekistan	x	x
Vanuatu		x
Vietnam	x	x
Yemen, Republic of	x	
Zambia	x	
Zimbabwe	x	

An X indicates in which component of the model a country dropped to zero either for some or all years over the period 2000-2008.

Region	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Average		
Africa-CED	8,526.89	4,248.22	11,253.31	22,410.78	22,610.11	21,729.51	19,417.39	42,219.77	38,909.20	21,258.35		
Africa-GER	2,317.42	6,332.70	3,257.51	3,376.20	10,309.60	10,693.43	17,886.61	23,236.05	24,876.49	11,365.11		
CED Percent of Total	78.6	40.1	77.6	86.9	68.7	67.0	52.1	64.5	61.0	66.3		
GER Percent of Total	21.4	59.9	22.4	13.1	31.3	33.0	47.9	35.5	39.0	33.7		
Asia-CED	52,448.58	58,229.55	6,292.70	14,101.48	4,310.12	37,571.06	31,948.74	27,825.02	49,288.40	31,335.07		
Asia-GER	147,671.43	170,063.21	183,568.45	236,129.28	325,568.00	365,657.43	346,223.57	391,472.67	445,820.86	290,241.66		
CED Percent of Total	26.2	25.5	3.3	5.6	1.3	9.3	8.4	6.6	10.0	10.7		
GER Percent of Total	73.8	74.5	96.7	94.4	98.7	90.7	91.6	93.4	90.0	89.3		
Europe-CED	31,094.99	38,970.08	51,602.08	87,250.98	104,319.56	86,825.18	136,458.30	242,142.88	287,259.03	118,435.90		
Europe-GER	4,348.01	11,917.45	7,271.01	8,530.67	16,272.73	6,843.31	15,990.68	8,975.68	16,164.65	10,701.58		
CED Percent of Total	87.7	76.6	87.6	91.1	86.5	92.7	89.5	96.4	94.7	89.2		
GER Percent of Total	12.3	23.4	12.4	8.9	13.5	7.3	10.5	3.6	5.3	10.8		
MENA-CED	44,536.85	34,644.15	29,639.19	100,305.62	111,921.56	143,509.58	219,639.62	187,986.20	239,956.18	123,570.99		
MENA-GER	2,785.29	2,843.96	2,609.91	2,624.64	16,397.09	7,974.77	8,097.68	5,688.26	7,534.50	6,284.01		
CED Percent of Total	94.1	92.4	91.9	97.5	87.2	94.7	96.4	97.1	97.0	94.3		
GER Percent of Total	5.9	7.6	8.1	2.5	12.8	5.3	3.6	2.9	3.0	5.7		
Western Hemisphere- CED	24,331.45	33,229.37	43,324.84	53,585.71	33,027.90	35,853.79	48,696.54	106,362.27	51,752.32	47,796.02		
Western Hemisphere- GER	51,234.89	50,419.22	47,937.34	50,500.43	55,793.00	66,179.97	70,325.73	81,374.46	102,780.90	64,060.66		
CED Percent of Total	32.2	39.7	47.5	51.5	37.2	35.1	40.9	56.7	33.5	41.6		
GER Percent of Total	67.8	60.3	52.5	48.5	62.8	64.9	59.1	43.3	66.5	58.4		

Table 12. CED and GER Components in Total Illicit Flows from Developing Countries and Regions
(millions of U.S. dollars and percent, 2000-2008)



A Program of the Center for International Policy

1319 18th Street, NW, Suite 200 | Washington, DC | 20036 Tel. +1 (202) 293-0740 | Fax. +1 (202) 293-1720 | www.gfip.org

Director: Raymond Baker, Managing Director: Tom Cardamone Advisory Board: Lord Daniel Brennan (Co-Chair), Krishen Mehta (Co-Chair), Jack Blum, Francis Fukuyama, John Heimann, Ken Jensen, Eva Joly, David Landes, Robert Morgenthau, Moisés Naím, Ngozi Okonjo-Iweala, Thomas Pogge, John Whitehead